		FILED
1	UNITED STATES DIS	with the Diezer
2	MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NASHVILLE DI	VISION MAR 0 2 1998
3	ABU-ALI ABDUR' RAHMAN,	N JU
4	Plaintiff, . C.	DEPUTY CLERK ivil Case # 3-96-0380
	vs Bo	eginning February 6, 1998
5 .	RICKY BELL,	
6	Defendant	1
7	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	The state of the s
8		CLEHK'S CCHY
9		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10	TRANSCRIPT OF BEFORE THE HONORABLE	
11		₹.
12		
13		
	APPEARANCES:	
14		radley A. MacLean &
15		llliam P. Redick, Jr. torneys at Law
16	No.	ashville, TN
17	·	
18		ohn H. Baker, III &
19		on Ungurait sst. Attorneys General
20		ashville, TN
21		
22		
23		•
24	Official Court Reporter: Jo	ohn W. Tummel, RPR
25		01 Broadway, Rm. A-839

12.7

1	February 13, 1998	
2	THE COURT: Day six. Mr. Redick, Mr.	
3	MacLean, do we have witnesses?	
4	MR. REDICK: Yes.	
5	MR. MACLEAN: Yes. Before that, I would	
6	like to introduce some exhibits into evidence, if I may.	
7	THE COURT: All right.	
8	MR. MACLEAN: First I believe will be	
9	Exhibit Number 148, I would like to submit the affidavit	
10	of Wayne Allen Boyd I mean deposition. I am sorry.	
11	THE COURT: Any objection?	
12	MR. BAKER: We would object that it is	
13	hearsay and not anything appropriate for the Court to	
14	consider in this case.	
15	I have spoken to Mr. Boyd's and Mr.	
16	Beard's attorney. He indicated they will not be	
17	voluntary witnesses so the state is not going to call	
18	them as witnesses today. That is where we are on that.	
19	I would object to the consideration of	
20	these depositions.	
21	THE COURT: Let me slow down here for a	
22	minute. Mr. Boyd and Mr. Beard, if I understand it, are	
23	not going to be called by the state?	
24	MR. BAKER: Yes, Your Honor.	
25	THE COURT: And part of that decision is	

1944 - 1950 - 1944

that when you say they are not going to be voluntary 1 witnesses, they --2 MR. BAKER: They intend to invoke the 3 Fifth Amendment is what I have been told by their 4 attorney. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. In terms of 7 whether it is hearsay or not, it doesn't seem to fall 8 under 801, exceptions to hearsay. Prior statement of a 9 witness requires a witness to testify at trial, and they 10 are not statements of party opponents. 11 So, we are in to 803 or 804. 12 Mr. MacLean. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, it is not 13 hearsay under the definition. These are verbal acts. 14 They did not -- other than stating their name -- they did 15 16 not make any statements which have any truth -- we are not submitting these depositions to prove the truth of 17 the matter stated. 18 Instead, they made verbal statements, they 19 asserted the Fifth Amendment. This is proof that they 20 asserted the Fifth Amendment. It doesn't fall within the 21 definition of hearsay. 22 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I think these are 23 language hearsay. It is not acts in that sense. Also 24

because these witnesses have not stated anything, there

is nothing relevant or material to these depositions for the proceedings.

THE COURT: Well, the unspoken part of this is you are going to get it into the record in an attempt to argue an inference and whether Mr. Baker is going to keep it out of the record for an inference.

Let's go and speak on the unspeakable. It is now on the record. These are certainly out of court statements. As I understand what you're saying, Mr. MacLean, they are not being offered for the truth of what is in there, they are being offered for, I guess you would say, notice to the court or to the world that they have invoked their privilege.

Is that your argument?

MR. MACLEAN: Yes. Understand, we start with the definition of a statement. Hearsay has to be a statement. Rule 801 defines statement as an oral or written assertion or nonverbal contact of a person if it is intended by the person as an assertion.

I believe the meaning of that is that the declarant has to be asserting some fact, some knowledge of his or herself in order for it to fall within a definition of a statement and, therefore, within the definition of hearsay.

When someone performs a verbal act, for

example I accept your offer to a contract, that is not
hearsay. That is a verbal act that has its own meaning
or has a significance that is not attached to any kind of

truth value, but that the statement is being made for.

It certainly doesn't fall within the definition of statement. That always has been my sort of elementary understanding of hearsay. You start with that proposition.

So, it is not hearsay in our view. The question whether it is relevant or material is something that I understood we might be briefing or would be happy to brief.

We believe that a negative inference can be drawn from the fact that they are unavailable or that they are refusing to testify. They were on the state's witness list and the state announced they intended to call them as witnesses earlier, and now they are not.

But that is something we would prefer to brief, Your Honor, because it is an issue that requires some research. We have done some but I am not prepared to present that argument in the fashion I think Your Honor should consider it.

I think the relevancy or materiality of it is going to be argued but as far as the hearsay issue is concerned, it simply doesn't constitute a statement

that has truth value, not a statement that we are trying 1 to introduce in evidence to prove the truth thereof. 2 3 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, it is exactly a statement, that they invoked their Fifth Amendment. That 4 is exactly the purpose he wants to submit this for. We 5 certainly think it is a hearsay statement. 6 MR. MACLEAN: If they had said at one time 7 8 I invoked the Fifth Amendment that would be a statement about something that happened in the past. That would be 9 a statement that would fall within the definition of the 10 hearsay rule. I last Tuesday invoked the Fifth 11 Amendment. That is a statement. That is out of court 12 13 and hearsay. 14 If I say I hereby invoke the Fifth 15 Amendment, that is not that kind of statement. It is a verbal act. 16 17 MR. BAKER: My understanding of hearsay, it is hearsay if it is talking about something in the 18 19 past. It is hearsay. 20 MR. MACLEAN: It could be in the prevent. I see Mr. Miller invoking the Fifth Amendment. 21

I saw. I see Mr. Miller invoking the Fifth Amendment.

Then that would be a present statement about what is
happening in the present. But that is a statement with
truth value. It is talking about what is happening in
the world or what happened in the world. It is not an

1 act.

THE COURT: Why isn't the declarant unavailable, and being unavailable why isn't it admitted as former testimony of an unavailable witness?

MR. MACLEAN: It is. That is the other justification for it, Your Honor. It is just not hearsay to begin with.

I will certainly argue either proposition, that either is admittable because the witness is not available or hearsay. It is just not hearsay.

I mean, if that were hearsay you couldn't prove an oral contract in court unless you brought the witness in and said, yes, I said I accepted that contract.

THE COURT: I don't think that is true.

You would have the admission of party opponent. That is always admitted that way.

Definition of unavailability.

Unavailability as a witness includes situations in which the declarant, number one, is exempted by ruling of the court from the grounds of privilege from testifying concerning the subject matter of declarant's statement or, number two, persists in refusing to testify concerning the subject matter of declarant's statement despite an order of the court to do so or, three,

testifies to a lack of memory of the subject matter of the declarant's statement and, four, unable to be present or testify at the hearing because of death or then existing physical or mental illness or infirmity or, five, absence from the hearing and procurement of the statement and proponent of the statement has been unable to secure the deponent's attendance by process. Declarant is not unavailable as a witness if exemption,

refusal claim, of lack of memory, inability or absence is due to the procurement after -- of the proponent of the statement for the purpose of preventing the witness from attending or testifying.

I make the following observations.

Definition of unavailability includes -- it does not say is or shall mean, includes. I think that means there can be circumstances in the discretion of the court whether someone is unavailable.

Item number one is exempted by court ruling because of privilege. Item number two is persists in refusing to testify concerning the subject matter despite an order of the court to do so.

Those two provisions do not precisely apply because they are not exempted by order of the court on the ground of privilege, although they asserted a privilege.

Number two, there hasn't been persistent refusal despite an order of the court to do so. However, it is apparent from the course of this proceeding that this court had the United States Marshals have them appear at a deposition and that they invoked their Fifth Amendment right and if called to testify today they would invoke their Fifth Amendment right. That has been the representation to the court.

And further apparent that if they invoke their Fifth Amendment right, that will be a privilege that the court would have to defer to. I couldn't make them testify contrary to the Fifth Amendment privilege. If they refuse to say anything, I could take action. But if they invoked their privilege, I couldn't take action.

Nothing has been presented to the court that they have immunity. I think they are unavailable.

Now, otherwise people could simply take the Fifth Amendment and exempt themselves from any consideration in this proceeding as well as common sense notion if you refuse to answer you are unavailable. I read 804(a) to include those situations. It is within the spirit of that provision.

Having said that about one former -testimony given by a witness at another hearing of the

same or different proceeding or in a deposition taken in compliance with the law and in the course of the same or another proceeding -- we have a deposition in the same proceeding. If the party whom the testimony is now offered or in a civil action or proceeding a predecessor in interest had an opportunity and similar motive to develop the testimony by direct, cross or redirect examination. All parties were present at the deposition.

Now, rule 807 -- it is in the supplement statement not previously covered by 803 or 804 but of equivalent circumstantial guarantees of trustworthiness is not excluded by the hearsay rule if the court determines that the statement is offered as evidence of material fact, the statement is more probative on the point for which it is offered than any other evidence which the proponent can procure through reasonable efforts and the general purposes of these rules and in the interest of justice will be served by admission of the statement into evidence.

However, a statement may not be admitted under this exception unless the proponent makes known to the adverse party sufficiently in advance of the trial or hearing to provide the adverse party with fair opportunity to prepare to meet it, the proponents

intention to offer the statement and the particulars of it, including the name and address of the declarant.

I think that applies. I also have considered Rule 403 balancing the prejudice and probative value and I think that balance weighs in favor of the court being aware of these individuals invoking their Fifth Amendment privilege.

On the question whether it is hearsay at all, I am inclined to believe that a statement invoking the Fifth Amendment privilege is not asserted for the truth thereof. It is like, almost like, equivalent of the acknowledgement if someone screams help that that is not hearsay. It is offered to prove the utterance rather than to prove that they actually needed help. They were screaming help. They may not have needed help.

I think the Fifth Amendment privilege is in that vain. I wasn't there. I say this somewhat tongue in cheek, it may rise to excited utterance on the contents. I wasn't there. I can't say.

So, I am going to let that in. While we are on depositions, before -- I know you have a list up there. You have the list-look on your face. I have on my desk the deposition of Mr. Delagrange and Bishop. I don't know if this is just one of the misdeliveries intended for someone here and not me.

1	In any event, I haven't read those things.
2	Are these supposed to be offered?
3	MR. MACLEAN: They already have been
4	offered. Mr. Bishop's deposition was Exhibit 135 and Mr.
5	Delegrange's deposition was Exhibit 136. We offered them
6	in evidence a couple days ago.
7	THE COURT: All right. Let me give these
8	to you, Ms. Bush. You should have had them. Here is Mr.
9	Boyd.
10	I assume if you're going to offer Mr.
11	Boyd, you are going to offer Mr. Beard?
12	MR. REDICK: Yes, that is next.
13	MR. MACLEAN: Yes. That is the next one.
14	We would ask Mr. Beard's be marked Exhibit 149.
L5	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker has the
L6	same objection and I have the same ruling.
17	MR. BAKER: Yes.
L8	THE COURT: Your objection is preserved.
19	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I want to make
20	sure I have the numbers right here.
21	The next exhibit we would like to
22	introduce in order to make the record complete is the
23	entire MTMHI file. We referred to portions of it. Dr.
24	Craddock testified to it. I have the cover letter sent
25	to me by counsel in response to the our subpoena. It

discusses what is included in the file they sent to me. 1 We would like to introduce that in 2 3 evidence as Exhibit 150. THE COURT: All right. Any objection to 4 that? 5 MR. BAKER: No objection. I believe 6 7 another copy is also in the post conviction file. 8 THE COURT: All right. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, as Exhibit 9 Number 151, we would like to introduce one of the 10 documents from the MTMHI file because we would like to 11 draw the Court's attention to one item in that document. 12 This is a dispositional staffing report dated February 13 19, 1987. We would like that marked as Exhibit 151. 14 15 Your Honor, I have highlighted on that exhibit at the bottom of page two the language where they 16 17 are talking about their interview with James Jones at MTMHI during the period he was there in January and 18 February of 1987. 19 20 We asked about the evidence, the shotgun, 21 the black coat, the blood on the pants. That is really for that purpose we are introducing this exhibit. 22 23 Your Honor, finally, we would like to 24 introduce in evidence as Exhibit 152 another deposition

That is deposition of Richard Edward Bretzlauf.

*5 × 5

25

we took.

1	The deposition was taken in this case. Mr. Bretzlauf was
2	the petitioner's employer in Chicago in 1984.
3	THE COURT: Any objection?
4	MR. BAKER: No objection, Your Honor.
5	MR. MACLEAN: That is Exhibit Number 152.
6	That completes my list, Your Honor, and we
7	are prepared to call our next witness if the Court is
8	ready for that.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Baker, do you have any
LO	housekeeping?
11	MR. BAKER: If you would like to take that
L2	up now, we could. I had a couple things on our exhibits.
L3	I believe our exhibits, Defendant's
L4	Exhibits 4, 5 and 6, I am not sure if I formally offered
L5	them in evidence earlier. If I didn't, I will move now.
16	THE COURT: I think you did. To make sure
L7	that there is no confusion, those are admitted.
L8	MR. BAKER: With regard to Defendant's
L9	Exhibit 6 which is the prison record we submitted, we
20	also compiled a summary for assistance to the Court in
21	viewing those records and we would offer that under Rule
22	1006 as an aid to the court.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. MACLEAN: We would object to that. I
25	didn't have that with me. We had it before. There were

some gratuitous comments -- not many -- on this that 1 doesn't reflect exactly what were in the documents. 2 THE COURT: Who prepared the summary? 3 MR. BAKER: I did, Your Honor. 4 MR. MACLEAN: I think the witness that 5 prepared the summary has to testify to that. I would 6 7 propose if he wishes to use this that they attach it as appendix to their brief or whatever and that way the 8 Court can obtain whatever assistance they want to obtain. 9 As a piece of evidence in this case, we 10 11 would object. 12 MR. BAKER: Alternatively if the Court doesn't believe it should be a piece of evidence, we 13 would offer it as like a demonstrative type aid in 14 viewing the documents. 15 16 THE COURT: I think technically under 1006 we have to have a witness that testifies how they 17 compiled it. I am comfortable in marking it as an 18 exhibit without admitting it into evidence and viewing it 19 20 as a document from counsel to aid the Court in finding relevant information. 21 We will mark it but not have it as 22 affirmative evidence. I think it will be helpful in 23 going through the record. 24

At times I feel like an archaeologist or

1	paleontologist, and those things can help me.
2	MR. BAKER: I marked it 6 A.
3	THE COURT: All right. That won't be
4	marked as an exhibit but it will be marked 6 A as an aid
5	to understanding Exhibit 6 in the nature of an index.
6	MR. BAKER: That is it, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	MR. MACLEAN: Just as a matter of
9	housekeeping, we do not intend to introduce any further
10	exhibits. To make the record clear, we do move in
11	evidence all the exhibits that are in the binder that we
12	submitted to the Court together with Exhibits 110
13	through I guess we are up to 152 to make the record
14	clear, we move those in evidence.
15	THE COURT: Those are admitted. Are we
16	ready for a witness?
17	MR. MACLEAN: Yes. I would like to call
18	to the stand Sarah Roberts Walton.
19	THE COURT: Good morning. Step forward.
20	Raise your right hand, please.
21	(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 EXAMINATION OF SARAH ROBERTS WALTON

- 2 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- Q. Ms. Walton, you are from Augusta, Maine, correct.
- 4 Q. That's correct?
- 5 Q. You were the fiancee of James Jones back in 1984?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. Tell the court what your occupation is.
- 8 A. I am an attorney for the State of Maine. Right
- 9 | now I am counsel for the Maine State Retirement System.
- 10 I have held that position since the beginning of
- 11 November.
- Prior to that for almost eight years I was
- 13 an Assistant Attorney General of the State of Maine.
- 14 Q. You are a Quaker, is that correct?
- 15 A. Yes, I am.
- 16 Q. Where did you graduate from college?
- 17 A. Earlham College, a Quaker college in Indiana.
- 18 Q. When what that?
- 19 A. 1981.
- 20 Q. When you graduated from Earlham, what did you do?
- 21 A. I went back to Maine where I had grown up. It was
- 22 in the middle of a recession, hard to find a job. I had
- 23 taken the federal service examine and based upon that I
- 24 | had an opportunity to work for the Social Security
- 25 Administration in Chicago. So, that is what I did.

- 1 Q. That was in 1981?
- 2 A. Yes.

- 3 | Q. And you worked for the Midwest Social Security
- 4 Office in Chicago?
- 5 A. Their midwest regional office.
- Q. I just want to go through your general history and
 then we will get back to Mr. Jones in a moment.
- And then in February of 1985, you went to
 work as a paralegal in Chicago, is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. And then what followed that in the history of your
- 12 | career?
- 13 A. Then I went to law school at New York University
- 14 | School of law and after that I went back to Maine where I
- 15 became an Assistant Attorney General.
- 16 Q. What kind of work did you do at the Attorney
- 17 | General's Office up in Maine?
- 18 A. Did a lot of different kinds of work representing
- 19 | the State of Maine. I represented a lot of state
- 20 agencies.
- I also represented the State of Maine in
- 22 | two murder appeals to Maine's highest court, which is the
- 23 | Maine Supreme Judicial Court. I represented the state in
- 24 | a lot of Department of Labor cases, environmental
- 25 protection cases. Things like that.

- Q. Could you explain to the court your Quaker beliefs and your Quaker background?
- A. My family is Quaker. As a Quaker, I have a very strong belief in equality of all persons and as a Quaker the commandments to love thy neighbor as thyself means one works toward an ideal world which there is social justice and which all persons are treated with dignity.

 That is, basically as a Quaker that exhibits itself in
- Q. Now, when you were in Chicago back in 1983 and
 11 '84, were you involved in any church or religious related
 12 organizations?

working toward social justice.

A. Yes, I was. Starting in February of '93, I was involved with the Yoke Fellows Prison Ministry. It is a prison ministry started by a Quaker but it is enter interdenominational.

As part of that program, I was one of the group leaders for a weekly religious program that was conducted at the Metropolitan Correctional Center in Chicago.

- Q. Were you also a member of the Fellowship of Friends?
- 23 A. Yes.

9

17

18

19

- 24 Q. Explain what that is.
- 25 A. Fellowship of Friends was an inner-city youth

ministry primarily. It was in Cabrini-Green, a housing development, housing project in Chicago, very, very poor.

It also had a very high crime rate for a lot of gang activities.

About 14,000 people living in Cabrini-Green, three fourths of them were under the age 18, had a lot of gang violence, drug activity and that sort of thing.

This ministry program reached out to mostly the young people in Cabrini-Green but we did have people in our ministry from babies through to adulthood. The program served between a 150 to 200 people a week. We had recreational programs, spiritual programs, we had church, we had a lot of involvement with tutoring programs, tutoring the young people as well.

I drove a van for the program as well as helping with the other programs. The importance of having a van was to be able to help the children and young people go across different gang boundaries safely.

Even when young people left there, they moved away from Cabrini-Green, they basically wanted to come back to our program.

So, I would drive out to the neighborhoods where they were to bring them for activities we had. We had a lot of planned activities.

- 1 Q. How do you know James Jones?
- 2 A. I met him at the Metropolitan Correctional Center
- 3 | while I was taking part in the Yoke Fellows Prison
- 4 | Ministry program.
- 5 Q. Was the Metropolitan Correction Center sometimes
- 6 referred to as MCC?
- 7 A. Yes. It is a federal facility in downtown Chicago
- 8 | for federal prisoners. I think a lot of them were sort
- 9 of in transition. I am not sure.
- 10 Q. This was part of your work with the Yoke Fellows
- 11 Prison Ministry?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Was this in the latter part of 1983 you met James
- 14 Jones?
- 15 A. I believe in the fall of 1983.
- 16 Q. Tell us about the history of your acquaintance and
- 17 then the relationship with James Jones up until the end
- 18 of '83 or early part of 1984.
- 19 A. I remember being very struck by his singing
- 20 ability during -- or during the weekly programs as well
- 21 as doing Bible studies was also singing. When he sang,
- 22 | they were solos I am talking about, he sang gospel songs
- 23 | and hymns. He sang it with a tremendous amount of
- 24 | feeling. He has a fabulous singing voice and very
- 25 moving. He really sang from the heart.

1 I remember some of the hymns, particularly Precious Lord Take My Hand, Balm in Gilead and an Andrae 2 Crouch song called Through it All. 3 Q. So, you were struck by that. 5 How did you develop a relationship with him? 6 I did. He had asked for my number, my telephone 7 Α. number because he was going to be released, or at least 8 released for periods of time prior to his being fully 9 released. 10 I gave him my telephone number at work. 11 He called me. I remember meeting him then, and then I 12 would meet him at other times as well when he would be 13 released for various periods of time in the fall of 14 15 1983. Did you finally become engaged to Mr. Jones? 16 Q. Yes, I did. I don't remember exactly when I 17 became engaged. But I know that in January of 1984 I 18 flew home to Maine to tell my parents that I was thinking 19 of getting engaged, and they were supportive. I told 20 21 him -- told my parents about Jim, about the fact he had been in prison most his life. But they were still 22 supportive. 23

I remember my mother gave me a diamond

ring she had had since she had been a child. And I wore

24

- that ring as an engagement ring starting in January of 1984.
- Q. I believe the record shows that James was released in Chicago from prison in late December of 1983.

Did you help him upon his release?

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. Explain that, please.
- A. I helped him find an apartment. I paid the
 security deposit, first month's rent, whatever else was
 required for that apartment. It was on the north side of
 Chicago.

I helped him with clothing. I remember -I helped him buy clothing. I think it was the fall of
'83, I helped him get a driver's license. I didn't have
a car myself at the time. But I got a friend to loan me
a car so he could practice driving and then take the
Illinois driving test, which he passed.

I basically think I had a pretty big role in his life almost on a daily basis, just helping him with whatever things needed to be done.

Q. Now, as I understand it James moved into an apartment. You helped him move in to that apartment.

Was there an incident that occurred at that apartment involving graffiti or something like that?

A. Yes. I am not sure exactly when but I think it
might have been maybe in the late spring of '84 there
appeared -- his apartment building was in basically a
white neighborhood. I think probably most of the tenants
were white.

It was graffiti that went up in the lobby of that building, and I don't remember exactly what the graffiti said but it used the N word. I think it was -- whatever it was it was something indicating that he should leave.

The graffiti stayed on the wall for a couple days. I remember calling the landlord to complain to say that that graffiti needed to be removed immediately.

But I do remember that that was something that was very troubling to Jim.

- Q. Did he later move out of that apartment?
- A. He later moved out of that apartment and he moved into an apartment on Winchester, which was where I had lived in this building. He moved into an apartment, I think on the first floor.

He helped -- the landlady was a Polish woman who really appreciated his help. She had a back yard that the ground was really kind of rough and he helped her prepare that yard to be resodded.

Q. We are going to go ahead in time and then we will come back to fill in some things.

When did your engagement break up with Jim?

A. It was toward the end of August of 1984. We planned to get married in October of '84. I was at the point of making preparations for the wedding. I already had the invitations printed.

I had two friends from work, two

African-American women that met with me and with Jim to
help plan the reception. I don't remember exactly what

Jim said or did during that meeting but it led those two
women to tell me there is something wrong with him,
meaning there was something seriously psychologically
wrong with Jim.

The only thing I can remember is that after they had met with him and I think they were still in the apartment, I remember seeing Jim staring out of a bay window in the apartment. That is the only memory I have of that.

When they came to me and told me that they really felt there was something wrong with him, I felt I had to take it seriously. I think it helped that they were both African-American women because I couldn't write it off as coming out of racist sentiment. And it did

cause me to pause and step back and realized that although I believe that love can heal all wounds that marrying Jim was going to be taking on too great a burden, involving too much self-sacrifice and that it would be better if I didn't marry him.

I went to a psychologist and talked with him about this. Because this was a very difficult decision for me. I was concerned about how Jim would take this because I knew he had had so many difficulties in his life.

So, I had a meeting with him and the psychologist. It was at the meeting that I told him that I had come to this conclusion. I don't remember what really happened at that meeting but I don't think that he had any major reaction to it, or major adverse reaction.

I remember afterwards we went together back to his apartment and talked about it some more, and we still maintained a relationship after that.

I think before that, in that time, at the end of August, '84, I think I had seen him probably on almost a daily basis. After that, I think I saw him much less on maybe once or twice a week basis.

But in October -- both he and I have birthdays in October. We exchanged birthday gifts. I remember he bought me an electric blanket which is

- 1 | something I wanted. And for Christmas in December, '84,
- 2 I remember he gave me some mittens I saw at Marshall
- 3 | Fields, which is a department store, very nice department
- 4 store in downtown Chicago. He got these mittens for me
- 5 for Christmas.
- 6 Q. What did Jim tell you about himself, his
- 7 | background?
- 8 MR. BAKER: I object to that as hearsay.
- 9 THE COURT: Mr. MacLean.
- 10 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, we are trying to
- 11 understand Jim Jones in the context of a sentencing
- 12 hearing. This witness would be a witness at a sentencing
- 13 hearing. These are the kind of things she would talk
- 14 about at a sentencing hearing.
- I think it is important that the Court
- 16 hear this because I think the Court needs to hear what
- 17 | would be presented at a sentencing hearing in making a
- 18 decision in this case.
- 19 THE COURT: It is hearsay. I am going to
- 20 | exclude it, but I will let you ask the questions and
- 21 | build your record.
- MR. MACLEAN: Thank you.
- 23 | Q. What did Jim tell you about himself?
- 24 A. I remember that he had a brother and a sister both
- 25 | younger than he; that his mother was part Cherokee; that

his father was a career military person; that his father had beaten him horriby with a leather strap; that they had moved frequently from military base to military base while he was growing up.

He told me that he had been in the Army and that the day he was discharged that he had gotten into an altercation on the military base which led to incarceration in a federal facility, and that while he was in that federal facility that he had been raped.

I remember he first described the rape to me in the third person. He did that on a Saturday in December of 1983. I remember that because I had taken the LSAC test in December of '83 and it was after I had taken the test -- it was at Northwestern University -- and that he met me after I got out of taking the test.

It was very cold that day and he told me about this, as I say, in the third person, telling me about it as something that had happened to a young man in prison.

But I could tell by the amount of feeling, amount of detail that it was really something that had happened to him.

And later he did admit that it was something that had happened to him and not to some other person.

When he described this, he did describe that after the rape had taken place that he had gone to confront the ringleader and that during that time he had killed that person, that individual, and that meant that he ended up being incarcerated in a federal institution for a lot longer.

He told me of the various federal institutions he had been in. I remember a Lompac. There were others. I remember him telling me about those.

He also told me that when he was in a federal facility in Colorado that he had walked away from some program and so he basically was an escapee and that he had gone to live with native Americans in the Dakotas. I don't remember if it was North or South Dakota.

While he was there, that he had witnessed a killing. And because of that, he had been a witness for the federal government and that he had been put in solitary for his own protection, and he went from there I think to MCC in Chicago.

- Q. Did he have any kind of relationship with any members of his family while you knew him?
- A. When I first knew him, he had absolutely no contact with anyone in his family for decades. It really struck me that he was sort of alone in the universe without family ties.

•

Because I worked for the Social Security

Administration, I knew the procedures that one follows to

try to locate family members that one has been apart

from. I told him that I could do this, we could perhaps

locate his family.

I helped him basically -- I drafted the two letters you need to write to do that. One, you write a letter to the Social Security Administration laying out all the facts that you know about these other relatives, birth dates, where they lived, where they worked, that sort of thing. And then another letter addressed to his brother Mark, because I thought it probably would be easier to locate Mark since men usually don't change their last names, where as his sister might have.

In that letter which must have been written in the spring of '84, letter to Mark, I was writing a letter for Jim to have Jim sign, and in that letter it said that he had met a woman that he was going to get married to in October and he was hoping to be able to reestablish his family contacts before that time.

But it wasn't until sometime in the fall of '84, maybe November, when he called me up. He was very excited because his brother Mark had called him.

And then he went I think by bus down to see his brother Mark. And then he came back. I think he went down in

- 1 December of '84 and then he came back from that visit
- 2 | back to Chicago and I think he very soon after decided he
- 3 | wanted to go back to be with his brother. I think his
- 4 brother lived in Tennessee.
- 5 He needed the bus fare to go back there.
- 6 He didn't have very much money. I remember I didn't have
- 7 very much money at the time but I scrambled to find
- 8 | enough money for the bus ticket. I remember actually
- 9 | finding pennies and counting out pennies.
- The last time I saw him was in January of
- 11 '85 when he got on the bus that second time.
- 12 Q. Was he employed in 1984?
- 13 A. Yes, he was.
- 14 Q. Who was he employed by?
- 15 A. I think it was a company called Star-something.
- 16 Q. Star Systems?
- 17 A. Star Systems. There was a man -- owned by a man
- 18 | named Walt that had been a prisoner and who knew that
- 19 | people who had been incarcerated, one of the skills that
- 20 they have is cleaning. Evidently that is one of the
- 21 things that one learns how to do in prison.
- 22 And he also just knew these people would
- 23 be good workers. He hired Jim to work for him. Jim
- 24 | worked very hard. He became -- quickly became a
- 25 leader.

I remember one of the things that he did to help the young people in Cabrini, he would give them opportunities. They could work under his supervision when they went out to residential homes in the Chicago suburbs and did house cleaning.

I remember going out with them once because the team was short a person. I was really overwhelmed by how much attention to detail, how much hard work it was and how good he was at it.

I also had gone out with him once when he did the house cleaning during the day. In the evenings, he cleaned big office buildings, and I remember there was also a big nursing home facility. I remember going with him one night. I didn't work but I just went with him while he was cleaning a large kitchen area of this nursing home facility.

Again, I was very impressed with how good he was at his work, how much care he took, and his boss put a lot.of faith in Jim. His boss let Jim use his own personal vehicle and also let him drive the company van so he could easily get back and forth to work at various places.

Because he was working such long hours, I remember there was one time which he was coming back to his apartment late at night and he was driving his

- 1 employer's vehicle, his employers car. Because he was so
- tired, he fell to sleep at the wheel and crashed the
- yehicle.
- 4 But the employer still had just an
- 5 enormous amount of faith in him and really -- I think Jim
- 6 and Walt were very close.
- 7 Q. Did Jim become a leader of a team in this
- 8 | company?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did Jim become involved in the work of the
- 11 | Fellowship of Friends?
- 12 A. Yes, he did.
- 13 Q. Describe that to the Court.
- 14 A. I remember he helped out with some of our
- 15 | recreational programs. He related very well with the
- 16 | young people. They really looked up to him as a role
- 17 | model.
- 18 He again used his gift for singing during
- 19 | some of our programs. He also gave his own Christian
- 20 | testimony. I can't remember other things, but basically
- 21 | he helped out with the program in Cabrini.
- 22 Q. How much time and effort did he put into that
- 23 program?
- 24 A. I think probably at lease six or eight hours a
- 25 week doing that.

- 1 | Q. Okay.
- 2 A. In addition to the long hours he was putting in working for Star Systems.
- Q. And then did he involve the kids in Cabrini-Green with Star System?
- A. Yes. He helped them to have job opportunities by
- 7 hiring them on some of his work teams.
- 8 Q. Describe Jim's personal appearance and how he kept
- 9 | himself?
- 10 A. He was always impeccably well-groomed. His
- 11 | clothing was always very neatly pressed. He really
- 12 always looked very dignified.
- I remember he had some wire rim glasses
- 14 that made him look very preppie. He is strikingly
- 15 attractive, strikingly beautiful and always, always
- 16 well-groomed.
- 17 Q. How did he keep his apartment?
- 18 A. Very, very, very neat. I took that as something
- 19 coming from his sort of military upbringing. But
- 20 extraordinarily neat.
- 21 Q. Except for you and his boss Walter, did he have
- 22 any other friends or people he hung around with?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 | Q. During this time when you knew Jim, did he get in
- 25 trouble?

A. Yes. Sometime during the fall of 1983, this is before he was fully released from the MCC, he and I were supposed to meet at a McDonald's. I waited there. It was like two hours and he didn't show up. I was very, very worried.

Then the manager of McDonald's came to me and asked me if I was Sarah Roberts. I said yes. I took the phone call. It was Jim calling me from the Cook County Jail. He had been arrested for shoplifting. He asked that I post bail.

I gathered together the money and went down to Cook County Jail and posted that bail. It turned out that he had taken shaving lotion or shaving cream and one or two other toiletry articles from a Walgreens Drugstore in Chicago.

I remember being very angry with him about this. I remember him saying something to me that did make me angry. That was that -- throwing back to me that I had said during a week earlier that money did not grow on trees. I don't know, I must have said that in the context of I can't give you tons of money because I just don't have it.

To me, that made me angry and told him so. It was to me sort of a childish reaction to say that back to me.

But I do remember that he did turn that

- 2 experience into something that was of some help to the
- young people in Cabrini-Green. I remember him testifying
- 4 in church about this, about how easy it is to fall off
- 5 the track but how important it was to get back on and to
- 6 do what one is supposed to do. I think it was very
- 7 moving.
- 8 Q. That incident occurred while he was at MCC before
- 9 being released?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. That occurred before getting his job at Star
- 12 | System?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct. Sometime during the fall of
- 14 '83.
- 15 Q. Was there an incident where you suspected he
- 16 | smoked marijuana?
- 17 A. There was only once. One night he came to the
- 18 | apartment where I was staying -- I think for supper --
- 19 | and I could smell marijuana. It made me very angry. I
- 20 | confronted him about it.
- 21 | He said that he had walked through a crowd
- 22 of people, that perhaps that is how the marijuana smell
- 23 came.
- I didn't believe him. But I think that
- 25 | was the only time that I knew him that I felt he was not

- honest with me. Other than that, I really believe he was honest and sincere. But that was one time when I don't
- 3 think he was telling the truth.
- I did not ever see any other time that

 would indicate any kind of drug use.
- Q. So, other than that one incident with the
 marijuana smell and the shoplifting incident during the
 period you knew him from late 1983 until he left for
 Tennessee in early 1985, were there any other occasions
 you are aware of that he got in trouble or did anything
- 12 A. No.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

wrong?

- Q. Now, did you travel with Jim to Maine to meet your family?
- 15 A. Yes. I think we rented a car and went to Maine in 16 the spring of '84. We drove to Maine.
 - He was very helpful to my parents and my youngest brother. I remember he helped with plumbing repairs that needed to be done. He bonded very well with my family. They really thought very highly of him.
 - And I remember my youngest brother saying to me, don't mess this up. He is really good, or something to that effect.
- I remember we went out to a lake with my

 25 family. I think we might have gone fishing. I can't

remember exactly. But I sort of remember him sitting on the ledge. I think he had a blue Fellowship of Friends jacket on. We had the jackets from the Fellowship of Friends program in Cabrini.

I remember he was very happy, in Maine particularly. I remember when we were driving home, as we were driving on the highway still in Maine but leaving, I remember seeing tears quietly roll down his checks as he was driving. I think it made him sad to leave Maine.

Q. Now, you mentioned the circumstances leading up to the break up of the engagement in August of '84.

Was there a prior occasion or incident when you became concerned about his mental stability?

A. Yes. It was one time -- which I think this was probably maybe February of '84. I remember there was still snow on the ground. I think we had been at his apartment and then we had gotten in the van and were driving somewhere. Maybe he was taking me back home.

And he just totally lost control of himself. I don't remember what he actually did or said but I was convinced we were both going to die, that the van was going to smash in to traffic or at the very least at a very high rate of speed in to parked cars.

I remember -- I am not sure why I didn't

have my seat belt on -- but throwing myself in the back
of the van trying to hold on behind the seat, hoping that
might help me live through this.

We did miraculously smash into some kind of a bank of ground that was covered with snow. So, we didn't die. I guess there wasn't that much damage to the vehicle.

But it really shook me up. I remember just being really worried about that. I don't think that he ever would have done anything to hurt me intentionally.

But that was a very terrifying thing to me, the fact that he could just lose control of himself and that it could end up being deadly.

- Q. Did you ever feel threatened by him in the sense he might do something deliberately toward you?
- 17 A. No. Absolutely not.

- 18 Q. What were his feelings toward children?
 - A. He had a very, very, very strong feeling of empathy, affection for children. He felt it was very important to protect children, to help them.

I think that came out very much in his relationship with the young people in the Fellowship of Friends program. It was something that was very, very strong; that he had a very, very strong empathy for

- children that they should be protected.
 - Q. Did he ever express any feelings toward animals?
- 3 A. Yes. I don't remember what the context was but I
- 4 remember sort of coming to the conclusion of various
- 5 things he had said that his feelings toward animals was
- 6 very extreme, that he identified with animals, that he
- 7 | really highly valued animals.
- It just seemed very extreme to me. It was
- 9 something that I had sort of attributed to having had so
- 10 many horrific things happen in his life as a result of
- 11 people.

- 12 Q. While you knew him in Chicago, was he trying to
- 13 get his life together?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. What was it about James Jones that you found
- 16 attractive or appealing? You mentioned the singing.
- Beyond that, tell us what you found about
- 18 him as attractive or appealing?
- 19 A. He was a very caring person. Again, I can only
- 20 speak from when I knew him in 1984, '83. He was very
- 21 | sincere. He seemed to have a very sincere Christian,
- 22 religious belief that I shared. He was very hard
- 23 working. Just extraordinarily polite, genital, full of
- 24 respect for other people.
- 25 I just really thought of him as somebody

who had a really good heart, somebody who had gone
through horrific experiences but who was working very,

very hard to overcome those horrific experiences, and
that he was really going to make something really good of

I remember in the fall of '84, he registered as a student at Loop College which is one of the Chicago city college divisions. I remember how excited and proud he was of taking the classes and getting passing grades.

He is just somebody filled with a lot of dignity.

Q. Was he loyal and faithful?

his life.

- A. Yes. I would say he was extremely loyal and faithful both in his job and to the Fellowship of Friends and to me.
- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, just one moment.

 THE COURT: All right.
 - Q. I just have two questions for you.

Did you see during the time you knew

James Jones, did you see any evidence or signs he was

related to any kind of gang activities or drug selling
activity?

A. Absolutely not. Those were things that he found very abhorrent, that it was something we were all working

1	hard to fight as a part of the Fellowship of Friends.
2	It is something that he personally found
3	abhorrent.
4	Q. Before his trial in 1987 in this case, had you
5	ever been contacted by any of his attorneys or anybody
6	else?
7	I am not talking about 1983. I am talking
8	about 1987.
9	A. No.
10	THE COURT: Mr. Baker.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

EXAMINATION OF SARAH ROBERTS WALTON

2 BY MR. BAKER:

1

10

30

1:

2

3

1

- 3 Q. Ms. Walton, you feared James Jones, didn't you?
- 4 A. I had no reason to fear him.
- 5 Q. You were really afraid of him?
- A. I wasn't afraid of him. When I broke off the
 engagement, I was afraid it would just be a very hurtful
 thing to him. But he -- I didn't fear he would react in
 doing anything to hurt me. Certainly not hurt me
- 11 As I said with the van incident, that was
 12 something that did make me afraid but it was more being
 13 afraid that he would loose control of himself.
- Q. Do you recall talking to Dr. Diana McCoy on the telephone last year?
- 16 A. Yes.

intentionally.

- Q. Do you recall telling her you were really afraid
 of Mr. Jones and you wanted to make it harder for him to
 find you?
 - A. I think I wanted to get on with my life. I wanted to sort of end that part of my life and go on with my life. I didn't want -- I don't know.
 - I think I always had sort of feelings of guilt that in some way when I put him on the bus in January of '85 that I had sort of handed him over to

- somebody else to watch over and take care of and that I had wanted to then focus on myself.
- I didn't want him to contact me again. I

 think the -- I was really afraid of sort of getting in a

 situation that I didn't want to be in. I wanted not to

 have contact with him further.
- Q. And that was because, in part, you were afraid of him?
- 9 A. Well, I knew he was very damaged as a person, that
 10 he had undergone so much in his childhood, so much as a
 11 result of racism, so much as a result of life in the
 12 prison that he was very damaged.
- Q. That you feared him. You personally feared Mr.
- 14 Jones?
- 15 A. Well, I had a fear of what he could do.
- 16 Q. You thought he was a manipulative person?
- A. I thought he was manipulative and that was a survival skill he had learned while he was in prison or other parts of his life, that the only way to survive really was being able to be manipulative.
- Q. In fact, you had concerns that at times he was manipulating you?
- 23 A. Looking back, I definitely felt that way. While I
 24 was with him, I don't believe I felt that.
- But looking back, I did feel that that had

- been the case. 1
- You broke up with James Jones, correct? 2 Q.
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. And ended the engagement?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- That was on advice of your psychologist, 6 Q.
- 7 correct?

- Well, it was a decision that I had come to, that I 8 Α. 9 then had gone to the psychologist to talk with him about my feelings about it.
- 11 But it was a decision that I had made or 12 come to after having spoken with my two friends.
- 13 You talked about one incident of violence when he 14 was driving out of control.
- 15 He was upset because he was experiencing 16 sexual frustration, in your opinion?
- 17 Α. That is what I thought was going on. I don't know 18 for sure. That is what I thought was causing it.
- 19 Q. You feared that he was going to intentionally crash the car? 20
- 21 I didn't think it was intentional. Α.
- 22 So, you did not tell Diana McCoy you thought he Q. might intentionally try to crash? 23
- 24 I think what I was explaining to her was I didn't Α. 25 think that he was intentionally trying to hurt me but

- 1 | that it could perhaps be sort of an act of
- 2 | self-destruction in which I would be sort of a bystander
- 3 who could get hurt, that that was another theory I had.
- 4 | But --
- 5 Q. You say he eventually calmed down after he stopped
- 6 the car?
- 7 A. Uh-huh.
- 8 Q. And you remained upset about that for a good while
- 9 afterwards?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 | Q. Now, at times you also feared he may stalk you,
- 12 | correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. You talked about that he was not -- he was opposed
- 15 to drug dealers and drugs.
- 16 You mentioned that, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Of course, that is inconsistent with him smelling
- 19 | like marijuana?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 | Q. You felt he was being deceptive about that?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. Did he ever tell you he used marijuana for
- 24 | religious reasons?
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. You at the same time said you had no idea he would
- 2 use drugs?
- 3 A. Yes. I had no knowledge of him using drugs at all
- 4 during the time I knew him.
- But I knew he had talked about in the
- 6 | past, I think particularly when he was with native
- 7 Americans smoking marijuana for some kind of spiritual
- 8 purpose.
- 9 Q. So, you did have knowledge he had used drugs in
- 10 the past.
- It was just during the time you knew him
- 12 you believed he was not using drugs?
- 13 A. That's correct.
- 14 Q. And you also described him as a leader?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. As a person who would lead children in discussions
- 17 at the church?
- 18 A. He gave his testimony in church.
- I don't remember him leading children in
- 20 discussions as a discussion leader.
- 21 I do think one on one, he had a good
- 22 | relationship with children and giving testimony in church
- 23 | in front of all of the those gathered in the church was
- 24 | very moving.
- 25 Q. Now, at the time you knew James Jones, you did not

- 1 believe he might be mentally ill? That never entered
- 2 | your mind?
- 3 A. No, it didn't.
- 4 Q. In fact, these women you talked to in Chicago
- 5 | didn't actually tell you they thought he was mentally
- 6 | ill, they thought this man wasn't right?
- 7 A. They said there is something wrong with him.
- 8 Those are the words I remember them saying.
- And that what they meant or what I
- 10 interpreted what they meant, or further explanation,
- 11 | it was that there was something wrong with him
- 12 mentally.
- 13 Q. That is just your interpretation about it?
- 14 | A. And I did think that perhaps he really had been
- 15 | way too damaged.
- 16 Q. You talked about his attending this Loop
- 17 | College.
- 18 He never completed that college, did
- 19 he?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. He left Chicago?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And when he left, you were relieved that he
- 24 left?
- 25 A. I was. I felt guilty about that but that is, or

- was my feeling, a feeling of relief.
- 2 Somebody else was going to carry the
- 3 burden of --
- 4 Q. But you described Mr. Jones, in your opinion, he
- 5 | had a good heart?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Now, were you aware when he was in the Dakotas
- 8 that his Indian name was No Heart?
- 9 A. If I was aware of that, I have forgotten that.
- MR. BAKER: That is all, Your Honor.
- 11 THE COURT: Mr. MacLean.
- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, no further
- 13 questions.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Walton, thank
- 15 | you for traveling from Maine to Tennessee and I hope you
- 16 have a safe trip back. You are excused.
- 17 A. Thank you.
- 18 THE COURT: Good luck in your legal
- 19 career.
- 20 A. Thank you.
- 21 MR. MACLEAN: I would like to call Ms.
- 22 | Susi Bynum, please.
- THE COURT: Before we do that, let's take
- 24 | a five minute break
- 25 (Whereupon, the Court was in recess.)

	1214
1	THE COURT: Who is our next witness?
2	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, Susi Bynum.
3	THE COURT: Welcome, Ms. Bynum. Step
4	forward, please.
5	Raise your right hand.
6	(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 EXAMINATION OF SUSI BYNUM

- 2 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- 3 Q. Ms. Bynum, please state your name.
- 4 A. My name is Susi Mae Bynum. I am still legally
- 5 Jones.
- 6 Q. You are still legally married to James Jones?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Where do you live?
- 9 A. 40 South Morrison Street, Memphis, Tennessee.
- 10 Q. Speak up a little bit, please.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 THE COURT: I ask the security officer to
- 13 try to work with the microphone and see if we can catch
- 14 | the sound a little better.
- 15 A. 40 South Morrison Street, Number five, Memphis,
- 16 | Tennessee, 38104
- 17 Q. Where do you work?
- 18 A. Midtown Mental Health Center in Memphis.
- 19 Q. You have a daughter?
- 20 A. Oh, yes. She is five.
- 21 Q. Five years old?
- 22 A. Jasmine.
- 23 Q. Do you remember when you met James Jones?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. Do you remember about when it was?

- 1 A. It was in the summer or the spring. It was warm.
- 2 Q. Of 1984 or 1985?
- 3 | A. 1985.
- 4 Q. And how did you meet James?
- 5 A. I met him through a friend, brought him to the
- 6 house.
- 7 Q. And give the Court sort of the history of your
- 8 relationship with James from the time you met him until
- 9 the time you got married?
- 10 A. Tell the Court?
- 11 Q. Yes, please.
- 12 A. Well, when I first met James, he opened up his
- 13 mouth, it was like thunder from heaven. Well, I was
- 14 | fascinated with James when I met him. He was different,
- 15 | just different from any man I had ever met. He had a
- 16 strong voice, he wanted to do something for the world. I
- 17 | was intrigued by that.
- 18 He wanted -- I thought he could be a
- 19 | fantastic minister and just help people. I was into this
- 20 | helping people thing and he was into this helping people
- 21 | thing. So, I was just real fascinated by him.
- 22 When I say he was different, I mean he was
- 23 | different. He could do things that normal people
- 24 | couldn't do. He could talk to animals. I know it
- 25 | sounds -- he could really talk. Birds would literally

- 1 | come and land on his hand and they never did me like
- 2 that. He could -- squirrels and things would come around
- 3 close. He could make sounds. I mean, I was fascinated
- 4 by him.
- 5 Q. When you first met him, you became friends with
- 6 him and then eventually you formed a relationship with
- 7 him, correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. When did you marry him? Do you remember when it
- 10 | was?
- 11 A. December, '85.
- 12 Q. While you were together, did you know Devalle
- 13 Miller and Karen Miller?
- 14 A. I didn't know them at first. I met them, yes,
- 15 | while we were together.
- 16 Q. When you knew James, where was he employed?
- 17 A. At the Baptist Publishing Board.
- 18 | Q. Who was his boss?
- 19 A. Allen Boyd.
- 20 | Q. Where was Devalle Miller employed?
- 21 A. Baptist Publishing Board.
- 22 Q. Did you and Karen Miller become close friends?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. And the four of you, you, James and Devalle and
- 25 | Karen would spend time together?

- 1 A. Right.
- Q. From the time you met James until you got serious
- 3 and became a serious relationship, what period of time
- 4 was that, do you recall?
- 5 A. It was short. We saw each other -- from the time
- 6 I met him, I probably saw him every day. Maybe three,
- 7 four months, three months.
- 8 Q. Did you and he move in together at some point?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. When was that?
- 11 A. It was around the fall. It wasn't cold, cold but
- 12 it was warm. Around the fall.
- 13 Q. Now, how would you describe James' work habits
- 14 during the period you knew him?
- 15 A. His work habits?
- 16 0. Yes.
- 17 A. He was a diligent worker.
- 18 Q. How would you describe his personal appearance?
- 19 A. He likes to be neat. He likes his shoes to be
- 20 | shined, and similar to my brother. Liked his shoes to be
- 21 | shined and face to be cleaned, bed to be made up when he
- 22 gets up out of it. A neat person.
- 23 About like you.
- 24 MR. MACLEAN: Please don't -- strike that
- 25 from the record.

1 THE COURT: I will take that comparison. When you first became close to him, did he talk 2 Q. 3 about his background, his family, his childhood? He gave me little pieces at a time. When I would 4 5 ask, he would give me information and the more -- the 6 longer I got to know him the more information I would 7 get. Yes, he told me several things about his 8 background, things that I kind of felt but really didn't 9 10 know. 11 Every time I saw him do something that was 12 unusual, I would seek an explanation. Most of the time it would come, the explanation would go back to something 13 14 that happened in the past or childhood or something like 15 that. 16 Now, when you married him, what was your feeling toward him? 17 18 Did you think it needed help, or what was your attitude toward him at the time you married him? 19 20 A. My attitude? How did I fell toward him? 21 22 I loved him. Okay. He has everybody -- nobody is 23 perfect. He has a few problems and we are going to get 24 married and I am going to help him work on these problems

and we are going to become one.

I was kind of like raised that marriage is a bonding thing for life and you stuck -- stick together and work these things out. And we could work on the

I guess I am going to have to say this, at that particular time I thought maybe I could help changing him. Changing him --

Q. What way?

things that wasn't up to par.

- A. Change him. I know better than that though.
- 10 Q. Pardon me?
 - A. I don't do relationships like that anymore. That is something else. And the way to help him to talk about things that is bothering him, that upsets him or seek some help, you know, from somebody that can help us talk about things that is causing conflict or problems in the relationship.

See, I thought like this. Can I just say this and I will have to just break it down? There is no other way to say it.

When I was little my mama always said,
Susi, things, emotional things that cause you problems,
you don't hide them, don't stick them in the closet,
don't let them ride your back. You discuss them. The
more you discuss things the less dead cats you have to
carry around in secret.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

My main thing was to take what I was taught and put it on James and let him be open and free the way I was taught. I know it sounds crazy.

- Now, describe to the Court when you and James were living together what your typical day would be like.
 - A. We get up and get ready and go to work and maybe talk in the morning, talk in the evening, come home from work. We would fix -- James was a good helper, too, you know, like around the house.

You know, like I notice my dad never did anything as far as washing, cooking and cleaning and stuff. James would wash, cook, you know. He would volunteer to do anything that needed to be done.

- Did you and James socialize with the Millers? Q.
- All the time. Α.
 - When you socialized with the Millers, did you Q. smoke marijuana or drink beer, or what would you do? James couldn't drink. Okay. I was the beer drinker. I drink, the Miller's drink. James wasn't a
- drinker. James wasn't -- he wasn't into drinking and 21 drugging and that kind of stuff.

I think James was focused on -- I think he was above us. He was more focused on the world, you know. Where we had these little biddy folks on just the community but he was like focused on the world, a world

- 1 thing.
- 2 And he was a loyal person.
- 3 Q. Pardon me? A loyal --
- 4 A. Loyal. Once he became your friend, once he found
- 5 | friendship in you or something in you that he liked, he
- 6 | was loyal to you.
- 7 I don't think he realized that everybody
- 8 | in the world was not loyal in return.
- 9 Q. All right. You told me something about his sense
- 10 of loneliness.
- Can you tell the Court what you told me?
- 12 A. He had periods when he --
- 13 THE COURT: Speak up a little bit,
- 14 please.
- 15 | A. Okay.
- 16 THE COURT: You might need to scoot closer
- 17 to the microphone.
- 18 A. No matter how hard I tried, he had periods of
- 19 | loneliness where he was -- he appeared to be isolated
- 20 from his surroundings.
- 21 | When I tried to bring it out or discuss it
- 22 | or talk about it, he would give me the impression out of
- 23 | all the things in the world loneliness was one thing he
- 24 | didn't want to be, just alone by himself. I think -- my
- 25 opinions don't count, do they?

- 1 Q. They do.
- 2 A. Can I say opinions? I think he was afraid to be
- 3 | alone. I mean alone without anybody or anything, just
- 4 alone, you know.
- 5 Do you understand what I am talking about,
- 6 alone?
- 7 Q. Were there times you observed him gazing at the
- 8 | wall?
- 9 A. At the wall, at the floor, at objects.
- 10 Q. Can you demonstrate to the court what it would
- 11 look like.
- Be like James when he would stare or gaze
- 13 at something.
- 14 A. I am not a good actor now.
- 15 Q. Go ahead and try.
- 16 | A. It depends on the situations. A lot of times in
- 17 | the afternoons, if I am doing something, I would cash
- 18 | him. Okay. The TV would be on but the TV wouldn't be of
- 19 | importance to him. He would be -- I think probably his
- 20 favorite one was the ceiling. It was like something was
- 21 | up there. He would be sitting there just like this with
- 22 | that rock, that same kind of rock he would have when he
- 23 | would get upset and pound his head.
- 24 Q. Tell the Court about pounding his head.
- 25 A. He would have instances where he would just pound

1 his head to the wall.

2 Can I show you?

3 Q. Yes.

the wall.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

A. Just, you know, and half the time nothing would be going wrong. Things would be -- sometimes it would be okay. I would notice peace, and everything was all right but he would stand up in the corner and just -- I say,

9 He would just pound his head up against

why are you doing that? Why are you doing that?

I got to say this. By the time he got to all this and the more I got to know James, I started saying, you need some help. I didn't say you, I said we need some help. Like I said, we need some help.

I didn't know what kind of help we needed.

I knew we needed some help. But, he wouldn't go along with the help thing, you know. I told the people that was around him that we needed help.

- 19 Q. Were there occasions when James would start 20 talking to himself?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. What was that like?
- 23 A. Late at night and in the morning.
- Q. Describe how that would happen and what that was
- 25 like.

- 1 A. Well, it wouldn't be like he is talking to
- 2 | himself. I talk to myself and say, Susi, you need to go
- 3 | ahead and get the baby's lunch fixed. You know, Susi --
- 4 | that is talking to myself. Susi would be talking to
- 5 someone. I assume God or -- God. Talking to God.
- 6 Q. Show the Court what it looked like.
- 7 A. But God would be talking back.
- 8 Q. Show the Court what that was like.
- 9 A. He would sit there and he would just talk like I
 10 am talking to this man here. I am like this.
- Don't get me wrong, I wasn't hearing no
- 12 response. I didn't hear any responses. But it was
- 13 | conversations. I was saying, who are you talking to?
- 14 Q. What was his sleep like?
- 15 A. Well, is sleep was -- there was times when I don't
- 16 | know if he really slept. He would be woke when I went to
- 17 | sleep and woke when he woke up. He would move all night
- 18 long.
- A good example would be, probably, my
- 20 brother was in the Marines and some kind of way he got
- 21 | shell shocked or whatever they call it. He motioned,
- 22 | ticks and twix.
- 23 If you walk in the room, you know, early
- 24 | in the morning when it is close for him to get up, he
- 25 | jumps up but he jumps up like who is there, should I run

- out on the field or something. It is time to get up and
- 2 get in line. He is a real agitated sleeper, not
- 3 peaceful.
- 4 Q. Did James ever -- was he ever self-destructive, do
- 5 anything to hurt himself besides the head banging?
- 6 A. Well, he hit the wall one time and hit the window
- 7 one time and cut his hand. So, that hurt him.
- 8 Q. Did you ever feel personally threatened by him?
- 9 A. Threatened?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Threatened by James?
- 12 Q. Yes.
- 13 A. No. No. He never said anything like I am going
- 14 to hurt you or, you know, nothing like that. Probably
- 15 | felt more threatened by my daddy.
- 16 No, no. I never felt threatened by James.
- 17 | I never feared him or anything. I mean, we had
- 18 discussions. We totally would disagree about things a
- 19 | lot of times, you know. He would just be totally
- 20 disagreeing on it. I would be just totally disagreeing
- 21 on it.
- 22 | He would get pissed off and I would get
- pissed off but I never feared he would hit me or nothing
- 24 like that.
- 25 Q. What was James --

- 1 A. Believe me, I can make you mad.
- Q. What was James' feelings toward his children?
- 3 A. Oh, it is hard to explain. I get emotional when I
- 4 think about children myself. I love kids. But I think
- 5 James probably loved kids a whole lot more than I do.
- 6 One child in particular, I would just die for.
- 7 But I think the situation being reversed
- 8 and put James in the slot, he would just die for all of
- 9 them. I kind of feel like the world that he wanted so
- 10 much to help and to change wasn't us grown up people, you
- 11 know what I am saying.
- 12 I just kind of feel that world was the
- 13 kids' world, the children's world. The little ones.
- 14 | Q. What were his spiritual views and what did he talk
- 15 about?
- 16 A. He talked about God. I thought -- I thought he
- 17 | would make an excellent minister, just around the world
- 18 just ministering, talking about God. But he wanted to be
- 19 close to God and I felt like in his own way he was
- 20 seeking to be close to God every day.
- 21 Q. Did he talk about the Messiah, being the Messiah
- 22 or --
- MR. BAKER: I object to these hearsay
- 24 | statements
- 25 A. He said we were going to have --

1 THE COURT: Excuse me a moment. 2 An objection has been made. Your response. 3 4 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, again I have two 5 responses. First of all, I think this is evidence of you 6 know what James Jones is like. I think his life is on 7 trial, this is evidence of the man who is on trial whose life is at stake here. 8 I think it corroborates the signs of 9 mental illness we have been talking about in this 10 proceeding. 11 12 THE COURT: You made reference to everything but the rules of evidence. 13 14 MR. MACLEAN: I don't think it is hearsay. 15 I don't think she is testifying to the truth -- we are 16 not trying to bring in evidence to prove those assertions. 17 18 We are bringing into evidence the observations she had of this man, which I think are 19 20 relevant to this case. It is not hearsay. 21 THE COURT: Well, I am going to allow the Messiah question because I don't think it goings to 22 23 the truth of the matter. I will watch where we go from 24 here.

25

Q.

Go ahead.

1	A. James thought that we would have the coming
2	Messiah, the coming child, you know, the Jesus Christ
3	that is supposed to come back into the world, the coming
4	Messiah.
5	He thought that was part of his purpose in
6	life. He thought I was the one to have this Messiah with
7	him.
8	Q. What was James how did he feel about needing to
9	belong? You talked to me about that before.
10	THE COURT: Let me go back to the other
11	point. That wasn't hearsay at all. That was her
12	impressions of his thought, not what he said.
13	Go ahead.
14	A. Well, that is what he told me, we were going to
15	have the Messiah.
16	THE COURT: I understand. Just tell the
17	truth as you see it. I am not trying to confuse you. We
18	are talking about how the rules of evidence apply and
19	they are arcane. So your job is to
20	A. Answer the question truthfully. That is what he
21	said.
22	THE COURT: Just focus on that.
23	What is your next question?
24	Q. Tell us about his sense of belonging or need to
25	belong.

1230 1 A. Always got to belong, he needs to belong to something, somebody. His first sense of belonging was, I 2 think, for a marriage and a commitment to one. 3 4 The Bible speaks of oneness, when you become married you become one. He expressed this from 5 time to time, to death do us part thing. 6 He always said he never belonged anywhere 7 8 else in his life, you know. MR. BAKER: We are getting into hearsay 9 10 now. THE COURT: Sustained. Next question. 11 What was his sense of loyalty? 12 He was loyal. If he found a friend, somebody that 13 he liked, if he connected and he trusted you, he was 14 15 loyal all the way. Do you know Allen Boyd? 16 Q. He would give up his life for you. 17 Α. 18 Q. Do you know Allen Boyd? Just like Jasmine. 19 Α. Yes. 20 How do you know Allen Boyd? 21 Q.

A. Well, I know I am on two sides. His wife went to school with me. So, I knew her. And then I met him

24 through James.

25 Q. Tell us about that.

- 1 A. About Allen Boyd?
- 2 Q. Yes.
- 3 A. That was his best friend.
- 4 Q. Tell us more about that.
- 5 A. He was loyal to Allen Boyd.
- 6 Q. Tell us more about the relationship between James
- 7 and Allen Boyd.
- 8 A. I think when I first met James, I think the
- 9 relationship between Allen Boyd was real tight. He
- 10 | thought Allen Boyd couldn't do no wrong. I better not
- 11 | say too much against Allen Boyd or there would be an
- 12 argument. They were friends. I will say real good
- 13 | friends. He was loyal to Allen. They were buddies, you
- 14 know.
- 15 Q. Did they spend a lot of time together?
- 16 A. A whole lot of time.
- 17 Q. Are you familiar with a group called the Southeast
- 18 | Gospel Ministry?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Tell the Court about that.
- 21 | A. They was like --
- 22 THE COURT: I am sorry. I couldn't hear
- 23 you.
- 24 A. I am trying to give them a name. A church group,
- 25 I guess. They called them a church. Well, a group of

- 1 people of all different denominations came together as a
- 2 church. That is one.
- 3 Q. Who were prominent members of that group?
- 4 | A. There was a lot of people. In the meetings there
- 5 were a lot of people. There was Allen, Mitch Hollie. He
- 6 owned a store over there.
- 7 Q. William Beard?
- 8 A. William Beard and James. Allen, Mitch, James,
- 9 | William. Those four were the primary members, and
- 10 Devalle Miller. Those were the five.
- 11 Q. Were there meetings that men and women would come
- 12 together?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Were there other meetings where there were just
- 15 the men?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Were you any part to the meetings with just the
- 18 | men?
- 19 A. With just the men? No. One time they let me go
- 20 on the hiking thing. It was just the men then. Outside
- 21 of New York City.
- 22 Q. Describe that. What do you mean by the hiking
- 23 thing?
- 24 A. Physical fitness. We went somewhere in the woods.
- 25 Don't ask me where. I don't know where. They had this

- 1 long hiking trail, maybe 15 miles or something like that
- and then everybody was running, and the person that got
- 3 to the point first was the winner. I won. I mean, I was
- 4 in better physical shape then. I played basketball and I
- 5 | could run 15 miles then. I was a long-distance runner
- 6 high school and college. I beat them like they were
- 7 turkeys.
- 8 Q. They were in a fitness program?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did James or Devalle ever wear military type
- 11 | clothing?
- 12 A. A long time.
- 13 Q. Was this part of what they were doing together?
- MR. BAKER: I object to leading.
- THE COURT: She evidenced they wore
- 16 | military clothing. She can testify to why she observed
- 17 | them in the clothing.
- 18 Go ahead.
- 19 | A. I am sorry. I missed the question when he said
- 20 | something.
- 21 THE COURT: Restate the question, Mr.
- 22 MacLean.
- 23 | Q. Why were they wearing the clothing?
- 24 Was this related to their group they were
- 25 in, or do you know?

- 1 A. I am not sure. I mean when we went hiking they
- 2 | wore them, definitely. Everybody did. As a matter of
- fact, I think they gave me some, too.
- But I don't know.
- 5 THE COURT: She is not sure. Next
- 6 question.
- 7 Q. What did these meetings -- what was the topic of
- 8 | conversation in these meetings?
- 9 A. The topics?
- 10 Q. What did they talk about?
- MR. BAKER: Objection to hearsay, Your
- 12 Honor?
- 13 A. They talked about --
- 14 MR. MACLEAN: I don't think this is
- 15 hearsay.
- 16 THE COURT: She can testify she heard
- 17 | people --
- 18 A. I went to the meetings.
- 19 THE COURT: I get to talk first.
- 20 A. I am sorry.
- 21 THE COURT: She can testify she was in the
- 22 meetings and what subjects were discussed. She can't
- 23 | testify who said what to whom.
- 24 Q. What subjects were discussed in the meetings?
- 25 A. Okay. I got you. We discussed building

communities, building churches and schools, where we could separate from the communities and things that we

were in so the children could be safe.

And some people were more interested in the children and then some people were just interested in having -- we discussed a lot of things.

Communities, safety rules for the communities, schools, churches, separation from the chaos until all this is in force, keeping the communities we were in safe, you know, not allowing the communities that we were in to be destroyed.

- Q. Did they talk about the drugs in the communities?
- 13 A. Yes. They talked about everything, about the
- 14 communities, the churches, the schools, safety for the
- 15 kids.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 16 Q. Have you ever been over at Allen Boyd's house
- 17 during this period of time?
- 18 A. Oh, yes.
- 19 | Q. What did you see there?
- 20 A. A lot of things.
- 21 | Q. Did you ever see any guns?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 MR. BAKER: I object. This is leading.
- Whatever she doesn't respond to what he
- 25 | wants, he gives her the prompt. I object.

- 1 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 2 Q. Did you ever see guns in his house?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 Q. More than one?
- 5 A. Yes. He had a collection.
- 6 Q. Now, during the period of time say in the late
- 7 | fall and winter of 1985 into the early part of 1986,
- 8 | could you describe James' participation, or the times he
- 9 | would leave the house and how much he was leaving the
- 10 house to be with Allen Boyd?
- 11 A. He became more and more involved. The more
- 12 | involved he became the more I got away, you know,
- 13 separation.
- 14 He would get upset because I wouldn't go
- 15 to the meetings. You know, but the meetings were kind of
- 16 like -- I wanted to be this good wife and why didn't I go
- 17 to the meetings often anymore and come along, you know, I
- 18 | was dropping off.
- 19 Q. Did Allen Boyd --
- 20 A. He was spending more times with these people, his
- 21 friends.
- 22 Q. Now, when you were married in December, what was
- 23 | your sexual life like with James?
- 24 | A. You are kind of personal.
- 25 | Q. I know this is embarrassing.

A. You don't -- do you want me to answer that question?

THE COURT: Yes.

- A. It was lacking a whole lot. You know what I am saying? It was in desperate need of help, and that wasn't the only reason why I said we need some help.

 Just all around help. I mean, I thought married people were supposed to at least be doing it three, four times a week, you know, when you first get married. I thought that prior to marriage it was like that, because we wasn't married. But when we got married it was still like that.
- I don't think -- I don't think James ever had a climax.
- 15 Q. Was that a sorts of tension within the marriage?
- 16 A. It was tension for me. Because I was a sexually
 17 active individual.
- 18 | Q. Ms. Bynum --

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 19 A. I think my tension was kind of rubbing off on him,
 20 too. Because I think he was beginning to feel like he
 21 wasn't holding up this part of the thing, this is a part
 22 of marriage also. I don't think he was holding up
 23 because he wasn't trying. I think he was -- just wasn't
 24 capable of producing.
- 25 Q. When you first met James, did he have a sense of

1 humor?

- A. Oh, yes. Yes.
- Q. He was fun to be around.

How did that -- what happened with that over time?

A. Man, I don't know. It went out the window. The closer -- every now and then it would be there. I had to work real hard to get it there. But then it just sort of went out the window. Just left.

It seemed like the more -- it was like a little child, like Jasmine. Jasmine is real happy when things are going along her way and she is smiling and happy and laughing, you know.

When mama says no then she is not so happy anymore, you know. So it is okay but she doesn't have a real big smile or playing, kidding around anymore.

- Q. How does that compare to James?
- A. Sometimes in some instances James had got -- James got same maturity levels of Jasmine. Seeing things

 Jasmine does today, I look and say that was like my

 James. That was his level of a five year old.

It is hard to explain. In a lot of ways he was like a little child in some things, and then in other things he was a little bit more advanced and a little more mature.

- In a lot of ways he was like a little
- 2 child.
- Q. Now, the offense in this case occurred on February
- 4 | 17, 1986.
- 5 Do you recall what happened that evening?
- 6 A. Well, I know we were over at Karen's and them
- 7 | house.
- 8 Q. What happened. Describe the sequence of events.
- 9 A. We was over at Karen's house. I think Karen and I
- was cooking, fixing something, food for the children, for
- 11 us. We were in the kitchen.
- They were out front watching T.V. or
- 13 whatever, talking. It was a normal situation. Karen and
- 14 I got together and they kind of got together. And then I
- 15 | think later on they left.
- THE COURT: Who is they?
- 17 A. Karen's husband and James.
- 18 THE COURT: All right.
- 19 A. Devalle.
- 20 Q. Then what happened?
- 21 A. They left and then Karen and I did what we always
- 22 do, talk and play with the children.
- They came back later on that night.
- Q. Where were you when they got back?
- 25 A. I was in bed.

- 1 Q. Did you hear what happened when they came back?
- 2 | Could you hear them?
- 3 A. Did I hear them come in the door?
- 4 Q. Uh-huh.
- 5 A. James came in where I was. I said, where have you
- 6 been all this time? I was kind of -- he got in bed and
- 7 | we went to sleep.
- 8 Q. And then what happened the next morning?
- 9 A. And then the next morning we got up. They went to
- 10 work. James and Devalle, I think, went to work.
- 11 | Q. Now, when they got home, did James -- did you hear
- 12 anybody take a shower or get cleaned up at all?
- 13 A. Take a shower?
- 14 Q. Right.
- 15 A. No. No, there wasn't no shower taking.
- 16 Q. Did you see any signs of blood on James?
- 17 A. No. No, there wasn't any blood on any of the
- 18 | sheets or anything like that. I didn't see any blood on
- 19 his clothes.
- 20 | Q. Now, the arrest occurred two days later on
- 21 | February 19. That was on a Wednesday.
- 22 On the Tuesday you said that both James
- 23 | and Devalle went to work, is that right?
- 24 A. Yes. They went on Wednesday, too.
- 25 Q. And you went to work those days, too?

- 1 A. No.
- 2 Q. You weren't employed?
- 3 A. I wasn't employed then.
- 4 Q. But were you --
- 5 A. I took them. I think I remember I took them the
- 6 next -- those days.
- 7 Q. And were you outside the house on Wednesday when
- 8 | the police came and searched the house?
- 9 A. Outside my house?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. On Wednesday?
- 12 | Q. Yes.
- 13 A. No, I wasn't there.
- 14 Q. You weren't there at the time?
- 15 A. No. I think I might have been over to Karen's or
- 16 | somewhere but I wasn't at the house.
- 17 Q. When you got back, what did the house look like?
- 18 A. A wreck. Mattresses were tore up, stuff all over
- 19 the walls. The drawers in the kitchen, it was --
- 20 | everything, clothes all over the floor. The bed was
- 21 broke down and tore down. They had cut holes in the
- 22 mattresses in the bed. You know, TV was on the floor
- 23 upside down. It was just a mess. I thought somebody had
- 24 | broken and entered. I thought nothing nobody really
- 25 needs or anything.

- 1 Q. When did you learn James was arrested?
- 2 A. When I went to pick him up that afternoon from
- 3 work.
- 4 Q. That is when you learned?
- 5 A. Devalle came outside and he said, Susi Jones, the
- 6 police came and picked up James. I said, for what?
- 7 Q. Then what happened?
- 8 A. And I had been sitting out there waiting for him
- 9 for quite some time, you know. People were coming out,
- 10 and it wasn't like James. He normally be the first one
- 11 to come out of there as soon as the thing goes off, or
- 12 whatever. But he didn't come out.
- 13 Q. Where did you go from there?
- 14 A. And then Devalle got in the car. I said, what is
- 15 | the problem? What is wrong? And he said they picked him
- 16 | up, they picked him up. And he wasn't giving me no
- 17 information.
- 18 I said, well, we will go down here and
- 19 | find out what is going on. It goes back to I can fix it
- 20 again. I can't fix it today. I said, I am going in find
- 21 out what is going on.
- 22 | So, Devalle jumped in the car. I
- 23 dropped him off at home. No, I dropped him off at the
- 24 bridge close enough to his home. I got rid of him and
- 25 | then I came downtown to the jail house to see what was

1 going on.

•

- 2 Q. What happened when you got there?
- 3 A. To the jail house?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. There was two men out there and they said James
- 6 | had killed somebody and he was a criminal, he was a
- 7 | murderer. They just kept feeding me with all this
- 8 stuff.
- 9 Then they said -- see, I didn't know this
- 10 was going to start getting emotional. You know what I am
- 11 | saying?
- 12 And they said that he had been a murderer
- all his life and he had been in the penitentiary, and
- 14 | they was feeding me with all this stuff.
- They said, get on out of here. You can't
- 16 see him. It was just, you know, a lot of stuff they were
- 17 saying. And some of the stuff I didn't know, either.
- 18 But I was inclined to believe them because
- 19 | they had this long piece of paper with all this stuff on
- 20 | it, you know.
- 21 | Q. Did they let you see him?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 Q. When was the first time you saw him after the
- 24 arrest?
- 25 A. It was a while. It was a long -- I can't quite

- 1 remember. But I didn't see him that day.
 - Q. Was it within a couple days you saw him?
- A. Possibly. I can't remember when I saw him. It
- 4 wasn't a long time after that.
- The man is saying, no, you can't see him
- 6 for so many hours, he said. I don't know if it was 48,
- 7 62, one of those famous numbers or something, hours I had
- 8 to wait. Because he said he was in interrogation.
- 9 Q. What was he like when you saw him the first
- 10 time?

- 11 A. He was like a statute.
- 12 Q. What do you mean?
- 13 A. He was sitting there like -- he wasn't saying
- 14 nothing. He was sitting there rocking like a statute.
- 15 He was numb. He wouldn't answer no questions.
- I said, what is -- he wasn't saying
- 17 anything. He was like he was in shock or something. So,
- 18 I asked him, I said, are they beating you, are you scared
- 19 to talk?
- 20 I was searching for something. I don't
- 21 know.
- 22 Q. Did he ever tell you he killed these people?
- MR. BAKER: Objection. Hearsay.
- 24 A. I asked --
- THE COURT: Well, I think I will let her

1	answer that. I think he is a party to this proceeding
2	and I think it is admissible.
3	Go ahead.
4	Q. Did he ever tell you that he had stabbed these
5	victims?
6	A. That he did? No. I asked him. I asked him the
7	first time and kept asking him and kept asking him and
8	kept asking him.
9	Finally after so many times I saw him, he
10	said, I can't remember.
11	I got real mad with him. I mean real mad
12	with him. I got real upset with him when he said I
13	couldn't remember.
14	I said, you can't remember? Man, you
15	going to be slaughtered and you can't remember. What
16	kind of answer is that?
17	THE COURT: That statement is admitted not
18	for whether he in fact could remember or not remember but
19	as to notice to her.
20	One of the issues in this particular case
21	is consistency or lack of consistency on that point. I
22	am not admitting it for the truth of it but just for the
23	utterance of it.
24	Next question.

Do you remember when Mr. Lionel Barrett got

25

Q.

- 1 involved in the case?
- 2 A. Uh-huh, I remember. Yes.
- 3 Q. Was there anybody that you knew or James knew
- 4 | that was in a position to pay a retainer, fee to Mr.
- 5 | Barrett?
- 6 A. What you say -- at first there was that man
- 7 Mustofa.
- MR. REDICK: That is the Neal McAlpin, for
- 9 the record.
- THE COURT: Let's establish that through a
- 11 witness.
- 12 A. His name was Mustofa.
- 13 Q. Is that the same person that is Neal McAlpin?
- 14 A. Yes. Neal McAlpin. That is the same person. But
- 15 | he played in his band and used that name Mustofa.
- 16 THE COURT: What was the name of the
- 17 | band? A.
- 18 A. Name of the band was -- gosh. They play raga
- 19 music. Like three or four of them. Really good. They
- 20 were really good.
- THE COURT: Was it African Dreamland?
- 22 A. Yes, yes, that is the name of it, African
- 23 Dreamland.
- 24 Have you seen them?
- 25 I am sorry.

- 1 Q. Just answer my questions.
- THE COURT: Go ahead.
- 3 | Q. So, Neal McAlpin was the lawyer first and then
- 4 later Mr. Lionel Barrett became the lawyer, do you
- 5 remember?
- 6 A. Yes. Neal called me and said he couldn't do it.
- 7 Q. And then Lionel Barrett became involved?
- 8 A. He told me this other man was going to do it.
- 9 Q. Were you in a position to pay Mr. Barrett any kind
- 10 of fee?
- 11 A. No. I wasn't even in position to pay my rent.
- 12 Q. Was James in a position to pay a fee?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. Who that you know of, all the people you knew did
- 15 you think was in a position to pay a fee?
- 16 A. Nobody had that kind of money, none of our
- 17 | friends, but Allen Boyd and maybe William Beard.
- I mean, either one of them would have that
- 19 kind of money.
- 20 Q. Did you know anybody else who would have that kind
- 21 of money?
- 22 A. Had that kind of money? Well, I know Devalle and
- 23 | Karen didn't. No. Charlie didn't have that kind of
- 24 money.
- I don't think the other people in the

- 1 group would have had that kind of money.
- No, I don't know anybody else that would
- 3 have that kind of money.
- 4 Q. After Mr. Barrett got involved in the case, do you
- 5 remember how many times you met with him or spoke with
- 6 him about the case?
- 7 A. I think it was twice or three times. One time I
- 8 called him and said I needed to get down there to bring
- 9 James some underwear or socks.
- 10 Q. And you and he talked?
- 11 A. He sent that other man.
- 12 Q. Wait a minute. In that conversation with Mr.
- Barrett, did you and he talk about the case at all?
- 14 A. The case?
- 15 Q. The facts and circumstances of the case or what
- 16 | you might do to help, or anything like that?
- 17 A. No. No. The first time I called him he said he
- 18 | might want me to -- he might need me to testify at the
- 19 case.
- 20 | Q. Did he talk to you about what your testimony might
- 21 be?
- 22 A. No. He said to testify and tell the truth. I
- 23 | would tell the truth.
- 24 | Q. So then you mentioned Ed Swinger?
- 25 A. Yes, that is his name. That is the man that

- 1 helped me get down there to take the stuff.
- 2 Q. To go to the jail?
- 3 A. To give the stuff.
- 4 Q. What kind of stuff?
- 5 A. Socks and underwear and toothpaste and tooth brush
- 6 and whatever.
- 7 Q. Did Mr. Swinger ever sit down with you to talk
- 8 about the case or your possible testimony in the case?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Do you remember the next time --
- 11 A. He called me on the phone and started asking me
- 12 something, some questions, this Swinger man did.
- 13 Q. How long was that conversation?
- 14 A. I don't know. Maybe five minutes.
- 15 Q. Then after that, do you remember the next time you
- 16 | spoke with Mr. Barrett?
- 17 A. No. At the courtroom.
- 18 | Q. That was the next time you spoke to him about the
- 19 | case?
- 20 A. I spoke to him three times that I know.
- 21 Q. Go through --
- 22 A. Two times I called him and one time he called me.
- 23 That was Mr. Barrett.
- The other man, he called me.
- 25 Q. Which other man is that, Neal McAlpin, Sumter Camp

- 1 or Mr. Swinger?
- 2 A. The Swinger man.
- 3 Q. Do you remember talking with Mr. Sumter Camp?
- 4 A. Who?
- 5 Q. Sumter Camp, a thin fellow?
- 6 A. No. That name doesn't ring a bell.
- 7 Q. Did Mr. Barrett ever ask you any questions about
- 8 your life with James or the circumstances of his life,
- 9 | James' life?
- 10 A. No. But I called him and I told him James needed
- 11 | some help.
- 12 Q. Did you explain why?
- 13 A. Yes, I explained why. I told Allen that. I told
- 14 | everybody, you know.
- 15 | Q. What did you explain to Mr. Barrett?
- 16 A. I told him, I said, James, he needs some help.
- 17 Why don't you try to see, you know, maybe before the
- 18 | trial or something try to get him -- I hate to say
- 19 this -- a psychiatrist to take a look at him, to talk to
- 20 him, you know. Just to talk. I think maybe if he got a
- 21 | psychiatrist to talk to him, maybe he would remember.
- 22 Q. Until the arrest on February 19, 1986, during the
- 23 | time when you knew James, did he ever get in trouble?
- 24 A. I am sorry. Say it again.
- 25 | Q. Did he ever get in trouble, any trouble with the

- 1 | law or trouble at work or anything like that that you
- 2 know of?
- 3 A. In trouble?
- 4 Q. Yes.
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Is James subject to influence? Is he someone that
- 7 | can be influenced?
- 8 A. Jasmine influences. She is five.
- 9 Q. Pardon me?
- 10 A. I am sorry. Answer the question.
- 11 Yes, I think so.
- 12 Q. Explain that.
- 13 A. Jasmine is five. She is subject to influence. I
- 14 mean, if James trusts you and you won is confidence and
- 15 you won a place beside him or as a friendship, then he is
- 16 subject to influence.
- 17 Q. How would you describe James Lee Jones, Junior?
- 18 A. How would I describe him?
- 19 Q. Yes, the person.
- 20 A. Good person.
- 21 Describe James. As a human that is
- 22 looking for a sense of belonging with, whether it be
- 23 | family life, mother and daddy relationship, good, not
- 24 dysfunctional type relationships but one where there is
- 25 unconditional love and someone -- a need to be loved, you

know. A need to be loved. Like a child seeking approval 1 and love from a parent or something. 2 3 I would describe James as a human being looking for the same thing every other human being in 4 5 life is looking for. 6 Someone to want and love them and wanting 7 to be loved in return. 8 Only difference is that he is at a lower 9 level than what I feel most human beings are. He is 10 at -- I feel like he is a maturity level of sometimes a 11 five year old, sometimes a teenager, sometime an 12 adolescent and sometimes an adult, you know. 13 MR. MACLEAN: Just a second, Your Honor. 14 How would you describe his heart and sole? 15 Oh, I see -- when you say that, you make me 16 emotional again. Because he really got a good heart. 17 You know what I am saying? And it is there. 18 I thought I could fix it and wring it out but I couldn't. I wasn't the right person, I guess, to 19 do it. I didn't have what it takes to mend his heart and 20 21 let his heart grow. 22 THE COURT: Mr. Baker, it is your 23 opportunity. 24 I have one question for the witness in the interim. 25

1	Can you tell me your educational
2	background?
3	A. I have got three years of college. I will
4	I am an LPN, licensed, but it expired.
5	THE COURT: Where did you go to college?
6	A. I went to Tennessee State and I had classes at
7	Vanderbilt.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	THE COURT: What kind of work do you do
10	now?
11	A. I am in accounting. I work in accounting.
12	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

er - -see

EXAMINATION OF SUSI BYNUM

2 BY MR. BAKER:

- 3 Q. Ms. Bynum, you and Mr. Jones liked to watch movies
- 4 and rent movies, didn't you?
- 5 A. Uh-huh. Yes. I still do.
- 6 Q. And there is one movie in particular called Scar
- 7 Face that Mr. Jones really liked?
- 8 A. Somebody else said that same thing. James and I
- 9 never watched Scar Face together.
- Okay. I don't know, maybe he watched it
- with some of his male friends or Devalle or somebody. I
- 12 never watched Scar Face with James.
- 13 | Q. So, if you had testified at the post -- do you
- 14 recall testifying at the post conviction proceeding in
- 15 | about 1993?
- 16 A. Uh-huh. I testified three times that I can
- 17 remember. Yep.
- 18 Q. Do you recall testifying that you and James
- 19 watched movies and rented videos?
- 20 A. Yes, I remember that. I don't remember testifying
- 21 | we watched a particular movie Scar Face. It seemed like
- 22 I was asked that before. We watched a lot of movies.
- 23 If James rented Scar Face and watched it,
- 24 | Susi wasn't sitting down watching it with him. You know,
- 25 | could have watched it with Devalle or some of the boys or

- 1255 1 something. 2 Do you recall this line of questioning when you 3 testified in 1993? 4 Question. What sort of movies did you 5 rent and seem to be interested, seemed to be of interest to him? 6 7 Answer. I liked --A. Action. 8 9 Answer. This is your answer. I liked us together, not what I like, okay. James would like movies 10 11 like Dragnet and westerns and Scar Face, Ten Commandments, Joshua, different type variety. 12 Uh-huh. Did I say he watched it with me? 13 Now, there was another question after that. The 14 question was, can you recall a specific movie he watched 15 16 once where there was drugs involved and what happened? 17 Your answer was. He seemed to be fascinated with that movie Scar Face. 18 19 You and him had watched that movie, 20 correct? 21 I don't recall watching Scar Face with James. 22 Q. Do you recall him being fascinated with the movie Scar Face though?
- He might -- that movie comes up and comes up and 24 comes up. He might have been. 25

- Man, James was fascinated with a lot of movies. James was a movie watcher, you know what I am saying; action anything, that was Army movies, cowboys and indians.

 I don't recall James ever telling me
- I don't recall James ever telling me though he was fascinated with Scar Face.
- Q. You thought he was fascinated with that movie,
 8 correct?
- 9 A. Well, at that time maybe.
- 10 Q. That was your testimony in 1993?
- 11 A. Well, I mean it could be. That has been a long
 12 time ago. At that time, maybe so. I don't know. I
 13 can't remember.
- You know, in -- back there then I just can't recall Scar Face being one of the things.
- It seems like that question has been asked
 and asked and asked.
- 18 Q. You do think your memory was certainly better in 1993 rather than 1998?
- 20 A. Oh, yes, I think so. I definitely do. I think it 21 is better then.
- Q. You talked a little bit about telephone conversations with Mr. Barrett, his attorney.
- Do you recall talking about that this

25 morning?

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 Q. Now, in fact Mr. Barrett had called you on two
- 3 occasions, the first time was to ask you about this
- 4 religious group.
- 5 Do you recall that?
- 6 A. I recall Mr. Barrett calling me.
- 7 Q. Do you recall him calling you?
- 8 A. Uh-huh. I recall me calling him.
- 9 Q. Do you recall him --
- 10 A. I can recall speaking to him three times.
- 11 Q. Do you recall him asking you about what happened
- 12 on the night of the murder?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 Q. If you testified at the post conviction hearing in
- 15 | 1993 he did call you and ask you about what happened on
- 16 | the night of the murder, you would not debate that today,
- 17 | would you?
- 18 A. No. Because that was 1993 and this is 1998. I
- 19 | wouldn't know. I know that he called me. I know he
- 20 did.
- I do recall talking to the man, uh-huh.
- 22 And the other man, too.
- 23 Q. You have testified also in 1993 that he asked you
- 24 about this religious organization. You don't dispute
- 25 | that today, do you?

- 1 A. No. He could have. I mean, I know when he
- 2 | called, we talked. I remember at least talking for five
- 3 minutes. I don't know what he asked. Whatever he asked.
- 4 Q. He also called you and asked you to be a character
- 5 | witness for Mr. Jones?
- 6 A. Character witness. Okay.
- 7 | Q. Do you recall that?
- 8 A. I recall him calling me and saying that he might
- 9 | need me to testify. He could have said character
- 10 | witnesses.
- 11 Q. If you testified in 1993 that he said character
- witness, you're not disputing that today, are you?
- 13 A. No. No. 1993 I was a witness. Character or
- 14 whatever.
- No, sir, I am not disputing that.
- 16 Q. Do you recall some people from the Middle
- 17 Tennessee Health Institute talking to you in 1987?
- 18 A. Mental Health Institute? In 1987?
- 19 Q. Yes. A social worker, a lady name Rebecca Smith?
- 20 A. That names rings a bell.
- 21 Q. Mr. Jones had a hot temper, didn't he?
- 22 A. Hot temper?
- 23 Q. In your opinion he had a hot temper?
- 24 A. A hot temper?
- 25 Q. I am asking you.

- 1 A. Wait. I am thinking. Define hot temper for me,
- 2 please.
- 3 Q. A man who would get upset about things that did
- 4 | not make him happy.
- 5 A. A man that would get upset about things that did
- 6 | not make him happy?
- 7 Q. Yes.
- 8 A. Yes. I would say yes.
- 9 Q. Sometimes we get very upset?
- 10 A. I have a hot one, too, then.
- 11 Yes, sir, I would say yes. If he got
- 12 upset about things, that didn't make him happy.
- 13 | Q. How would you define hot temper?
- 14 A. I would define hot temper as somebody that stayed
- 15 upset about any little thing, you know, regardless if it
- 16 | wasn't going their way they would just blow off the
- 17 | handle and just blow-up.
- 18 That is what hot temper -- that is what I
- 19 | would define hot temper.
- 20 Q. If you had told somebody in 1987 that James Jones
- 21 had a hot temper, that is what you meant?
- 22 A. I would say he -- gee, probably so. My definition
- of things in 1998 is different than some of my definition
- 24 of things in 1987.
- 25 I have grown, too, you know what I am

- 1 | saying? I am matured a little bit, too. I didn't stay
- 2 | at the same maturity level I was at that time as I am
- 3 | now. I choose to think today I am better and I am
- 4 | searching and trying to improve myself. I am actually a
- 5 | little out of time doing that.
- 6 The way I looked at things in 1987 might
- 7 | not be the way I look at things in 1998. Because in 1985
- 8 I thought I could change people. But I don't think that
- 9 today.
- 10 Q. So in 1987 if you thought James Jones had a hot
- 11 | temper, are you saying you don't believe that today? Is
- 12 | that what you're saying?
- 13 A. No, no. I am saying that the definition you gave
- 14 for a hot temper, yes, he does have a hot temper. That
- 15 is what I am saying.
- 16 Q. What about the definition you gave? Was that the
- 17 definition you would have gave in 1987?
- 18 A. Definition I gave?
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. Is that the definition I would have given in 1987?
- 21 | 1987 the definition I probably would have gave is
- 22 | probably the definition you gave today. Because, you
- 23 | know --
- 24 Q. Why do you say that?
- 25 A. Because I think about my baby's got -- I say that

- 1 because I am looking -- that probably would be the
- 2 definition, you know, that I gave. Because that --
- THE COURT: I got the point here.
- 4 Q. You talked about socializing with the Millers,
- 5 Devalle Miller and the wife's name is Karen, right?
- 6 A. Right.
- 7 Q. And you all socialized?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. At these socializing, gatherings, there would be
- 10 beer drinking you said?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And also drugs were there?
- 13 A. Reefer.
- 14 | Q. Marijuana?
- 15 A. Reefer, marijuana.
- 16 Q. Now, were these religious gatherings or social
- 17 | gatherings?
- 18 A. No. No. We didn't have -- it wasn't marijuana at
- 19 | the South Ministry gathering.
- 20 Q. I am talking about Mr. Miller and his wife?
- 21 A. At our houses?
- 22 | Q. Yes.
- 23 A. Yes. We drank and smoked marijuana, right.
- 24 Q. Those weren't religious gatherings. Those were
- 25 | socializing between you and the Millers?

- 1 A. Yes. Well, the house. Yes, socializing.
- 2 Q. In fact, there was a lot of marijuana smoking
- 3 going on with Mr. Jones, yourself and the Millers?
- 4 A. There was a lot of drinking, I would say, beer
- 5 drinking going on, okay. James couldn't drink beer. I
- 6 | wasn't a big -- fond person on marijuana. But I will
- 7 try, you know. But I couldn't --
- 8 Q. Mr. Jones was a big user of marijuana?
- 9 A. Now, he could smoke marijuana. He couldn't drink
- 10 beer. On the other hand, Karen -- we all had our, you
- 11 | know, what we could, what we liked and did not like.
- 12 Q. In fact, Mr. Jones smoked a lot of marijuana,
- 13 | didn't he, especially with Mr. Miller?
- 14 A. I don't know what Mr. Jones and Mr. Miller did
- when Mr. Jones and Mr. Miller was together. When Mr.
- 16 Jones and Mr. Miller and me and Karen was together, we
- 17 | all drank and smoked. James couldn't drink. He smoked.
- 18 | You used the word a lot. I want -- I wouldn't say a
- 19 lot.
- There has been a period in my life where I
- 21 | did do a lot. I don't see the lot then as the same as
- 22 lot then and there.
- Q. Do you recall talking by telephone to a person by
- 24 | the name of Diana McCoy, somebody calling you up and
- 25 | asking you about this case last year?

- 1 A. That rings a bell --
- 2 Q. Does that ring a bell?
- 3 A. That name does ring a bell.
- 4 Q. Why would you tell her that James smoked a lot of
- 5 | marijuana?
- 6 A. That name rings a bell.
- 7 Q. Why would you tell her that James smoked a lot of
- 8 marijuana?
- 9 A. Did I tell her that?
- 10 Q. Yes.
- 11 A. Then I must have thought at that time that was a
- 12 lot of marijuana.
- 13 Q. You have stated that --
- 14 A. Don't get me wrong. We drinked and we smoked. I
- 15 | want you to know, we drinked and we smoked.
- 16 THE COURT: If you're going to continue
- 17 | this conversation, define a lot.
- 18 A. We did drinking and smoking.
- 19 THE COURT: Ms. Bynum, that is enough. Go
- 20 | ahead, Mr. Baker.
- 21 Q. Yes, Your Honor.
- 22 A. I don't know what is a lot or not.
- 23 THE COURT: Wait for the next question.
- 24 A. We shouldn't have done any of it.
- THE COURT: Wait for the next question,

1 please.

Go ahead, Mr. Baker.

- Q. Mr. Jones eventually talked to you about the incident that is at issue in this case, is that true?
- 5 A. Yes. He told me he didn't remember.
- 6 Q. He told you he was in the wrong place at the
- 7 | wrong time and he did not take part in the murder, didn't
- 8 he?
- 9 A. Yes, he told me that, too. I said, what happened?
- 10 He said, I don't remember. He did tell me that, too.
- 11 | I recall him saying that to me.
- 12 For a long time he wasn't even talking
- 13 though.
- 14 Q. Now, at the time of this murder which is in
- 15 | February of 1986, you did not see any unusual behavior
- 16 | with Mr. Jones or any significant changes in his
- 17 behavior, did you?
- 18 A. He got more agitated.
- 19 Q. If in 1987 --
- 20 A. Agitated.
- 21 Q. In 1987 if you told a mental health worker that
- Jones did not evidence unusual behavior or change in
- 23 behavior during the time period in question, you're not
- 24 disputing that, are you?
- 25 A. If I told her that then?

1 Q. Yes. I think about that now, yes, I would dispute 2 3 that. But then did you make that statement, is what I am 4 Q. 5 asking you? I am not saying I didn't. I don't remember making 6 A. that statement. I might have. Don't get me wrong. 7 8 I would dispute that right now because 9 when I think about it, when I sit down and --MR. REDICK: Could we approach the bench, 10 please? 11 THE COURT: Yes. 12 (Whereupon, the following discussion was had at 13 the bench.) 14 THE COURT: Is that Mr. Beard? 15 MR. REDICK: I think that is Mr. Mann and 16 Gail Hughes Mann. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 MR. BAKER: I am going to wrap it up. 19 THE COURT: All right. 20 21 (Whereupon, the discussion at the bench was 22 completed.) THE COURT: A couple individuals entered 23 the courtroom. This is Mr. Mann? 24

MR. MANN: Yes, sir.

1 THE COURT: Be patient with us for a few moments. Mr. Baker is going to complete his examination 2 and then we will have a discussion. 3 4 Go ahead, Mr. Baker. 5 Q. Ms. Bynum, you talked about going to the police 6 department after Mr. Jones was arrested. 7 Do you recall talking about that earlier 8 this morning? Yes, sir. 9 Α. In fact what the police officer was telling you is 10 Q. you would have to come back during certain visiting 11 hours, you couldn't just go in and see him? 12 13 No, they didn't say that. He said, you can't see Α. him right now. It will be so many hours before you can 14 15 see him. What you need to do is go on home and forget 16 about this man. 17 That is what he said. I remember that well. That makes me emotional because he was saying, go, 18 19 forget about him. That is what he said. He didn't say I could come back and visit him. You can visit him all 20 21 Sundays or none of that. He said you can't see him right now, it will be so many hours. What you need to do is go 22 home and forget about this man. 23 Those were his exact words. Go home and

forget about this man. Because those words hurt me when

24

1 he said them. 2 MR. BAKER: That is all, Your Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect? 3 MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor. 4 5 THE COURT: Ms. Bynum, thank you. You may 6 step down. Have a safe trip back to Memphis. 7 MR. MACLEAN: May I be excused for about 8 two minutes? 9 THE COURT: All right. I will sit right 10 here while anybody that needs to step out. MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. 11 THE COURT: Since we do have lawyers for 12 both parties here, I am going to mention a couple things. 13 Regarding evidentiary rules, we have had all kinds of 14 discussions regarding hearsay, how the federal rules 15 interrelate to the state rules or lack of state rules, 16 and so forth. 17 18 Often there have been objections 19 interposed that anticipated certain answers. Those 20 answers were never forthcoming. Something else would come out of the witness' mouth. 21 22 In light of that, to the extent in my opinion that I rely on testimony that has been objected 23 24 to, I will, if necessary, re-evaluate any objections in light of the actual answer given and take appropriate

action to either reconsider or not reconsider.

At the moment I don't have anything in mind particularly but sometimes a question would occur like did Mr. Jones ever say something and the answer would be more mental impressions drawn from a period of experience rather than hearsay itself. I will be re-evaluating those as necessary. I don't have a specific quote in mind.

But I think there has been a tendency in this particular case for things to go in that direction in light of all the evidentiary complications we discussed.

I always hesitate to do things like this because matters related to race are frequently sensitive in this country of ours. But because we have had testimony related to the racial background of Mr. Jones, particularly his half sister, is fairly elaborate testimony and how that all fits together I think the record needs to be clear regarding the races of some of the people involved.

Ms. Walton who testified earlier this morning is Caucasian and Ms. Bynum is black. I don't know if there was any direct testimony about Mr. Jones' race. That has been the subject of some discussion. We are expecting expert testimony, perhaps, on some of these

1 issues.

I just wanted to make sure what the Court is able to observe is reflected in the record that we are all sitting here and observing. I am not suggesting any particular results out of any of that.

Since those issues are sensitive, I want the court of appeals to have at least the benefit of the visual observation of the district judge in that regard.

Mr. Mann, if you could step forward, please, sir. Come on through. Step up to that podium and that way I will be able to hear you.

Thank you for being here. I appreciate it. I know the Marshals served you with an order to be here. What I need to discuss with you is the location of your wife, Gail Hughes Mann. She is a witness in this proceeding and I need to ask her some questions and it came to my attention you may know her whereabouts.

Maybe she is in the courtroom. I will let you respond to that. We have had some expression of concern by other witnesses about testifying in this case and in the event there are concerns you need to raise to me, I will be happy to hear them and consider them. It is extremely important that we get to the bottom of the facts in this case.

1	I know it is unpleasant to have someone
2	summon you here. I am here every day. This is like home
3	to me but unpleasant to others. I expect no one here is
4	having fun in the courtroom.
5	With that background and a request for
6	your full cooperation, I will ask you if you can
7	enlighten me to the whereabouts of your wife. I
8	understand she is your wife. That may not be correct
9	either.
10	MR. MANN: My wife is Gail Mann. She is
11	here with me right now.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. MANN: She is seated in the courtroom.
14	After having discussions, we had come here
15	before to discuss this case I don't know exactly what
16	was going on. But we have been here before.
17	THE COURT: In this courthouse?
18	MR. MANN: I guess the other courthouse.
19	We we are in the main courthouse.
20	THE COURT: That is Davidson County
21	Court?
22	MR. MANN: I thought we had answered all
23	questions that needed to be answered at that time. We
24	were just trying to get all this out of the way and put
25	it as far behind us as we can and move on.

People show up at my job to summons me to

be here today. I still don't know. In talking with her,

she says I don't have anything to add to the case; I

don't know why they keep asking me to come and come and

come. We are trying to get this taken care of and move

on.

THE COURT: Ms. Mann, are you prepared to testify?

MS. MANN: Yes.

THE COURT: Stand up and identify

yourself.

MS. MANN: Gail Mann.

THE COURT: All right. What I suggest we do is, Ms. Mann, I think probably the most productive thing to do in this instance, if you are so willing, is to talk briefly with the lawyers for each side to see what it is they want to discuss with you.

I don't expect your testimony necessarily to be unduly lengthy. But there have been things raised in this proceeding that I believe you may have knowledge of that are important. That is why the sense of urgency to get you here. I understand your desire to get matters behind you. It is not my intention to drag this out and make you uncomfortable or harass you in any way. My obligation is to get to the bottom of things, to insure a

1272 1 fair proceeding. It is necessary for you to do that. 2 If you feel, hypothetically, that you have 3 some privilege not to testify or if you have been coerced 4 in any way by anyone not to be here earlier or not to 5 testify, you need to bring that to my attention. If you 6 need to tell me something outside the presence of anyone 7 else, I will be happy to hear that, too. That may 8 conclude that ultimately you will need to say it in the presence of others but as a starting point I can at least 9 hear any concerns you have in that regard. 10 Now, let me hear from the lawyers in this 11 12 particular case whether they are interested in a brief 13 discussion to try to narrow the scope of her testimony or whether we should just invite her to testify at this 14 15 time. 16 Mr. MacLean, Mr. Baker. 17 MR. BAKER: I guess either way with us. We just as soon do it now unless it is going to be unduly 18 19 lengthy. 20 THE COURT: Do you expect anything 21 lengthy? No. It is going to be very 22 MR. MACLEAN:

THE COURT: It think it all boils down to one question.

23

24

25

short, I believe.

1	MR. MACLEAN: One line of questions
2	anyway.
3	THE COURT: All right. Would you like Ms.
4	Mann to go ahead and testify now?
5	MR. MACLEAN: I think this would be a good
6	time.
7	THE COURT: Ms. Mann, if you will step
8	forward.
9	Mr. Mann, I would ask you to step behind
10	the rail there. You can get a seat anywhere you feel
11	comfortable to observe.
12	Ms. Mann, if you will step forward and
13	raise your right hand, please, ma'am. Step up here to
14	where I am.
15	(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)
16	THE COURT: Ms. Mann, let me tell you who
17	these people are.
18	This is Mr. Brad MacLean, Mr. Jones'
19	lawyer; Mr. Redick is next to Mr. Jones. He is on his
20	side, too. I want you to know everybody.
21	This is Mr. Baker, and I have just drawn a
22	blank of Mr. Baker's co-counsel.
23	MR. UNGURAIT: Don Ungurait.
24	THE COURT: And they represent essentially
25	the State of Tennessee. They represent individuals for

the State of Tennessee and they are both entitled to ask you some questions. I obviously am the judge. The rest of the people on this side of the bar are court employees, the people on that side are observing. You having just been summoned here, I wanted to make sure you knew who all the players were, since you didn't have a chance to meet some of these individuals before. Mr. MacLean.

1 EXAMINATION OF GAIL HUGHES MANN

- 2 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- 3 Q. I am Brad MacLean. You and I never have spoken
- 4 before, have we?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. Are you aware that we have tried to reach you and
- 7 | tried to ask you to testify in this case?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Is there a reason why you would not want to tell
- 10 us -- why you were reluctant to testify in this case?
- 11 A. Well, my husband and I discussed it and I don't
- 12 have anything to contribute to the case.
- 13 Q. Ms. Mann,, do you remember testifying -- you may
- 14 | not remember the year -- but back in 1993 in the other
- 15 | courthouse, Davidson County Courthouse in this case,
- 16 | correct?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Was your testimony back then truthful and
- 19 | correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. You know James Lee Jones, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. You met James Lee Jones when you were working at
- 24 | the Urban League back in early 1985, correct?
- 25 A. Yes, that is correct.

- 1 Q. And at that time Mr. Jones was at the Opportunity
- 2 House, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. And that was a halfway house here in Nashville?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And you and Mr. Jones formed a relationship,
- 7 | correct?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. And you and he became engaged to get married?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. When he got out of the Opportunity House you
- 12 helped set him up in an apartment, is that right?
- 13 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 14 Q. And then subsequently you and he broke off that
- 15 relationship, correct?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. Now, do you recall after James Jones was arrested
- 18 | in this case in February of 1986, you tried to assist him
- 19 and line up legal counsel, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And do you recall your efforts to line up Lionel
- 22 | Barrett as his legal counsel?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- 24 Q. How did that come about?
- 25 A. Well, I just looked in the phone book. I think

- 1 | that is how I came about his name. I picked him out and
- 2 called him up and talked to him.
- 3 Q. When you talked to him, what did you and he
- 4 discuss?
- 5 A. Well, just him representing James.
- 6 Q. Was there any discussion about the nature of the
- 7 | case?
- 8 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 9 Q. What did you tell Mr. Barrett?
- 10 A. That he had been arrested, and I can't remember
- 11 | everything I said. Basically they had been arrested
- 12 for some drug bust case and that he needed a
- 13 representation.
- 14 Q. Based upon the information we have gathered from
- 15 Mr. Barrett's file it appears that you and he may have
- 16 | spoken around August of 1986.
- Do you have any recollection of the time
- 18 when you first spoke to him?
- 19 A. It could be around that time. A few months after
- 20 that.
- 21 Q. Did Mr. Barrett tell you he would be willing to
- 22 represent Mr. Jones?
- 23 A. Yes, uh-huh.
- 24 Q. What did he tell about the terms or conditions
- 25 | upon which he would represent Mr. Jones?

- 1 A. As far as I can remember, he said that the amount
- 2 | it would cost -- I think he said \$15,000.
- Q. Did he tell you he needed that \$15,000 on before
- 4 he started work on the case?
- 5 A. No. I just told him I would try to get some is
- 6 | all.
- 7 | Q. Where did you go or how did you go about trying to
- 8 | raise the money to pay Mr. Barrett?
- 9 A. Well, I got the money from Boyd. He gave me
- 10 | \$5,000.
- 11 Q. Allen Boyd?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. How did you know to go to Mr. Boyd?
- 14 A. I believe James must have told me. I wouldn't
- 15 have known.
- 16 Q. Where was it that Mr. Boyd gave you \$5,000?
- 17 | A. I went up to where he worked, Nashville Baptist
- 18 | Publishing Board.
- 19 Q. Did you know Mr. Boyd before then?
- 20 A. Not really. I knew him from the Nashville Urban
- 21 League but other than that, no.
- 22 Q. When you went to Mr. Boyd to collect the money,
- 23 | did he know why you were there?
- 24 A. Sure. He wouldn't have given me the money.
- 25 Q. Had you contacted him before that point in time

- when you actually went to the Nashville Publishing Board?
- 2 A. I don't think so.
- Q. Somebody else talked to Mr. Boyd and he knew you
- 4 were going to arrive to collect the money?
- 5 A. No. I told him -- I called him to tell him I was
- 6 coming.
- 7 Q. You called him ahead of time?
- 8 A. Sure. He had it ready when I got there.
- 9 Q. You asked if he could raise the money?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Did you tell him how much you needed?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. How much did you tell him you needed?
- 14 A. I believe \$15,000.
- 15 Q. Did he tell you he would raise the 15,000?
- 16 A. I don't remember. I don't know how we came up
- 17 | with it. I guess that is all he could get.
- 18 | Q. When did you first learn he would only pay
- 19 \$5,000?
- 20 A. I am sure he told me on the phone then. Because I
- 21 wasn't expecting it when I got there.
- 22 | Q. When you got to the Nashville Baptist Publishing
- 23 | Board, you weren't expecting --
- 24 A. To get \$15,000.
- 25 Q. What was the form of currency?

1 A. Cash.

- 2 Q. Was it in certain type denominations. What kind
- 3 of bills?
- 4 A. Just small bills.
- 5 Q. Did he say anything to you when he gave you the
- 6 money?
- 7 A. It is a while ago. I am sure he did. I don't
- 8 remember what he said.
- 9 Q. What did you do with the money?
- 10 A. I got a money order for it.
- 11 Q. Where was that?
- 12 A. First American Bank.
- 13 Q. How was the money order made out, payable to Mr.
- 14 Lionel Barrett?
- 15 A. Right, uh-huh.
- 16 Q. And then do you remember whether there was any
- 17 other information on that item?
- 18 A. Well, just it was written out it came from me is
- 19 | all.
- 20 Q. Then you went to Mr. Barrett's office?
- 21 | A. Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. Was Mr. Barrett expecting you at that time or did
- 23 | you just show up at his office?
- 24 A. He was expecting me, yes. I had an appointment.
- 25 | Q. Did you set up the appointment before you went to

- 1 Mr. Boyd?
- 2 A. To take the money to Mr. Barrett? No, I don't
- 3 think so.
- 4 Q. You first got the money from Mr. Boyd and then you
- 5 | called Mr. Barrett to set up an appointment and then you
- 6 | went to Mr. Barrett's office at the appointed time, is
- 7 | that correct?
- 8 A. Uh-huh.
- 9 Q. What happened there?
- 10 A. Well, I gave him the money order.
- 11 Q. Did you tell him where the money came from?
- 12 A. I don't remember that. I don't know if I told him
- or not. I probably did. I don't know.
- 14 Q. In the post conviction proceeding you testified
- 15 you did tell Mr. Barrett?
- 16 A. Probably so.
- 17 Q. Can you testify to that today?
- 18 A. That I told him?
- 19 | Q. Yes.
- 20 A. I don't remember. I don't know. I might have
- 21 | told him. If I say I told him back then, I did then tell
- 22 him.
- 23 | Q. Did you have any further discussions with Mr.
- 24 | Barrett about the balance of the fee at that time when
- 25 you delivered the money to him?

- 1 A. I don't remember. I probably told him that is
- 2 | all I could get. I didn't try anything else to get
- 3 anymore.

• '.

- 4 Q. Did you have any subsequent discussions with Mr.
- 5 | Barrett about the fee?
- 6 A. I don't remember any.
- 7 Q. Mr. Barrett has a memo in his file in this case,
- 8 Exhibit Number 29, memo to his secretary. I am sure you
- 9 never have seen this before.
- 10 He tells his secretary, please call Gail
- 11 Hughes, on December 17, 1986. She still owes \$10,000 on
- 12 | the matter involving James Lee Jones. She has paid
- 13 | \$5,000. I need to start doing a lot of work on Mr. James
- 14 Lee Jones' case next week. Please find out if it is
- 15 going to be possible for her to bring in the additional
- 16 \$10,000 prior to the start of the year since there is a
- 17 | lot of work to be done on this murder case. I need to
- 18 know what the status is.
- 19 And then down below it indicates that
- 20 Gwen, the secretary, left messages with you around the
- 21 17th of December and then you apparently called back and
- 22 | said you don't have it now but you will try to come up
- 23 with it.
- 24 Does that refresh your recollection at
- 25 all?

- 1 A. A little bit.
- 2 Q. So, there was subsequent discussion between you
- and Mr. Barrett about collecting the balance of the
- 4 | fee?

- 5 A. I guess so.
- 6 Q. What did you try to do to collect the balance of
- 7 the fee?
- 8 A. I don't remember. I know I couldn't get anymore
- 9 from Mr. Boyd.
- 10 Q. Did Mr. Boyd tell you he wouldn't pay anymore?
- 11 A. I understood that from the beginning that that was
- 12 | it.
- 13 Q. And then on February 3, 1987, there is another
- 14 memo, Exhibit Number 32, to Gwen from Lionel. It says,
- 15 | please call Gail Hughes and tell her I want to start work
- on the case involving James Jones but she only paid
- 17 \$5,000 and we need to have the additional money paid. I
- 18 | would like to meet with her next week about it, a number
- 19 for her -- and gives a telephone number.
- 20 Below on that same memo it says the same
- 21 date, February 3rd, that apparently Gwen spoke with you
- 22 and told you that Mr. Barrett was getting involved in the
- case and that you needed to get some additional money in.
- 24 You said you would call your funding source today.
- 25 And then it appears Gwen set up an

- 1 | appointment for you to come in the following Tuesday.
- 2 Do you remember anything about that?
- 3 A. Let me see. That is how I would put it. I guess
- 4 I was trying to contact Allen again to try to get more
- 5 money.
- 6 Q. Do you remember meeting with Mr. Barrett
- 7 subsequently?
- 8 A. Not really. I met with him a few times. I don't
- 9 remember subsequently.
- 10 Q. Do you remember you met with him and talked to him
- 11 about the fee or how to raise the money?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. You don't remember what you talked about with
- 14 | him?
- 15 A. Not really. It has been so long.
- 16 Q. Then there is another memo in Mr. Barrett's file.
- 17 This has been made Exhibit 36. And it is to Gwen from
- 18 Lionel, to his secretary from Mr. Barrett dated February
- 19 23, 1987.
- 20 It says, please call Gail Hughes and tell
- 21 her I am really confused about the situation, I have got
- 22 the initial money that she has paid and I am willing to
- 23 represent James Lee Jones but she has got to come in and
- 24 meet with me so we can talk about the case and see where
- 25 | we stand on it.

1 Then down below a handwritten note

- 2 apparently from Gwen saying that same day she spoke with
- 3 you and gave you an appointment for Monday, March 2nd at
- 4 five o'clock.
- 5 Does that refresh your recollection at
- 6 | all?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Do you remember after you paid the initial \$5,000
- 9 to Mr. Barrett going into his office on one or more
- 10 occasions to talk about the fee situation?
- 11 A. Uh-huh.
- 12 Q. You did do that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Do you recall what you discussed with him during .
- 15 | those meetings?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. Do you recall whether he told you he needed to get
- 18 paid the balance before he could really start working on
- 19 | the case?
- 20 A. Sure, yes.
- 21 Q. He said that to you?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you remember you telling him you were trying to
- 24 | raise the money?
- 25 A. Sure, yes.

- 1 Q. Did Mr. Barrett understand you were not the
- 2 person that had the money, you had to get it from another
- 3 | source?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you understand -- was Mr. Boyd the primary
- 6 | source you were looking to?
- 7 A. Right. Because I couldn't think of any other ways
- 8 to get it.
- 9 Q. Did you try to explain to Mr. Barrett why you were
- 10 not able to raise the money?
- 11 A. I am sure I did. I don't remember.
- 12 Q. In these meetings, did you tell Mr. Barrett you
- 13 had to go back to Allen Boyd to try to get the
- 14 money?
- 15 A. I probably told him that. I didn't see any other
- 16 | way.
- 17 Q. Were you aware -- did you talk to anybody else
- 18 | about collecting the money to pay Mr. Barrett's fee?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Ms. Mann, did there come a point in time you
- 21 decided you simply were unable to raise the additional
- 22 | amount?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. How did you come to that point where you figured
- 25 you couldn't raise the additional amount?

- 1 | A. Well, just -- I guess I figured if I couldn't get
- 2 | it from Allen Boyd then that was such an enormous amount,
- 3 that I didn't have no way of getting it.
- Q. Do you remember about -- you may not remember -- I
- 5 am asking the best you can recall.
- 6 Do you remember what time of the year that
- 7 | would have been when you decided you couldn't raise the
- 8 additional amount?
- 9 A. It was just a few months after I gave him the
- 10 initial amount.
- 11 Q. Did you tell Mr. Barrett that you tried and you
- 12 struck out and you just couldn't raise anymore money, or
- 13 anything to that effect?
- 14 A. I am sure I did. I didn't want him to think I had
- 15 anywhere else to get it. I didn't have it.
- 16 Q. Would you have told -- these messages appear to
- 17 | indicate that you would be reached on the telephone by
- 18 Gwen, Mr. Barrett's secretary, not Mr. Barrett himself.
- 19 Is that the way it worked?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 Q. Did you ever receive a telephone call directly
- 22 from Mr. Barrett?
- 23 A. I don't remember ever receiving one.
- 24 Q. Did you ever call Mr. Barrett's office and talk to
- 25 Mr. Barrett on the telephone?

- 1 A. I don't believe I ever got to talk to him on the
- 2 phone. Just in person.
- 3 Q. But you met with him on a couple occasions at
- 4 least about this?

.

- 5 A. At least, yes.
- 6 Q. When you told Mr. Barrett that you had struck out
- 7 or that you wouldn't be able to raise the additional
- 8 money, did you explain to him why?
- 9 A. I don't remember. I am sure I did.
- 10 Q. Did you explain to him you had gone to Allen Boyd
- and tried to raise the additional money and just couldn't
- 12 get anymore out of him.
- MR. BAKER: I object to leading and I
- 14 | think she just answered it.
- THE COURT: Go ahead and answer that
- 16 question, and that is the the last time we will ask that
- 17 one.
- 18 Q. Do you remember?
- 19 A. I am sure I did.
- 20 Q. Did you ever have any discussions with Allen Boyd
- 21 about this case after that point in time?
- 22 A. Let me see. I just remember him vaguely saying --
- MR. BAKER: I object to hearsay.
- 24 THE COURT: Well, it depends on what
- 25 her answer is. I don't know. It may or may not be

1 hearsay.

- 2 A. I am trying to think what he said. I don't
- 3 remember. It wasn't anything.
- 4 Q. It wasn't anything?
- 5 Was that before the trial in July of 1987
- 6 or was it after the trial of 1987?
- 7 A. I don't know. I don't remember. I only have seen
- 8 him once, that one time.
- 9 Q. That is the only time you actually saw him?
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. Did you speak with Mr. Boyd over the telephone
- 12 about the money?
- 13 A. Before I went to get it, yes.
- 14 Q. In order to get the additional money, after you
- 15 got the initial money, did you ever talk to Mr. Boyd over
- 16 the telephone?
- 17 A. I was trying to think. I think I did once. I
- 18 | can't remember. It wasn't anything important said.
- 19 Q. Have you ever spoken with anybody else besides
- 20 Mr. Boyd where Mr. Boyd's name came up in the
- 21 conversation?
- 22 | A. No.
- 23 Q. Have you ever felt threatened or pressured not to
- 24 | come to court today or at any other time not to get
- 25 involved in this case?

1	A. No. It is just that I am married and all this
2	doesn't have anything to do with our life today.
3	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, can you give me
4	just a moment?
5	THE COURT: Anymore questions?
6	MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Baker.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 EXAMINATION OF GAIL HUGHES MANN

- 2 BY MR. BAKER:
- 3 | Q. Ms. Mann, James Jones requested that you go to Mr.
- 4 Boyd to see if he could obtain funds for his attorney,
- 5 | correct?

- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And he knew you were going to do that?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. He was aware of that?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. He was aware Mr. Boyd was able to provide some
- 12 | funds for Mr. Barrett?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Now, do you know where Mr. Boyd obtained the funds
- 15 for Mr. Jones?
- 16 A. I have no idea.
- 17 Q. After you requested the funds from Mr. Boyd it was
- 18 | several weeks after that before he was able to get up the
- 19 money, is that the best of your memory?
- 20 A. I can't remember how long it was. It wasn't
- 21 immediate.
- 22 | Q. If you had testified previously it was some weeks,
- 23 | would that be the best of your memory?
- 24 A. That sounds reasonable. Yes.
- 25 Q. Earlier there was a memo referred to from Lionel

- 1 | Barrett's office where you had stated that you would call
- 2 your, quote, funding source.
- 3 A. Uh-huh.

in the second

- 4 Q. Why was the term funding source used as opposed to
- 5 the name Boyd?
- 6 A. I don't know why.
- 7 Q. Do you know whether or not Mr. Jones ever told you
- 8 not to refer to Mr. Boyd directly?
- 9 A. I don't remember. I don't remember.
- 10 Q. You don't remember one way or the other?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. You don't know whether or not Mr. Boyd obtained
- 13 the \$5,000 from friends or other folks or whether it was
- 14 | solely from him?
- 15 A. I don't know. I have no idea where he got it. I
- 16 took it and got the money order.
- 17 MR. BAKER: That is all, Your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Anything else?
- 19 MR. MACLEAN: Nothing further, Your
- 20 Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Ms. Mann, has anyone
- 22 | threatened you to get you not to testify here today?
- 23 A. No, sir.
- 24 THE COURT: Has anybody suggested any
- 25 harm would come to you?

1 A. No.

THE COURT: Can you explain why you were apparently attempting to avoid a deposition and attempting to avoid to be here today?

A. Well, I wasn't trying to avoid it. I didn't have a subpoena and my husband and I discussed it and as long as I didn't have a subpoena then -- once I got one, I would come.

Like I say, we were trying to just put it behind us is all.

THE COURT: It's a serious matter to evade federal subpoenas. I am not going to take any action at this time because you are here -- and I thank you for being here. You just need to recognize that serious results can follow from evading federal subpoenas.

But there is no need for me to do anything at this time and I am not aware of anything I will do at a later time.

I want to impress upon you the need when a federal subpoena arrives it is a serious matter that needs attention. You can't just avoid it by saying he is in the house and received service at your house.

But I do want to commend you for being here today and doing your duty by testifying pursuant to the order issued.

1	Anything else of this witness?
2	MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor.
3	MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: You are done. You are free to
5	go.
6	Mr. Mann, thank you for being here during
7	your lunch hour and for inviting your wife to attend. I
8	appreciate you arising to the occasion.
9	You are free to leave, too.
LO	All right. Have we completed the
11	witnesses we are going to call for the day?
12	MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
13	MR. BAKER: Yes, sir.
L4	THE COURT: As I understand it the state
L 5	doesn't intend to call any witnesses today.
16	MR. BAKER: That's correct.
L7	THE COURT: I should say the respondent.
L8	I am sorry. Ricky Bell does not intend to call any
19	witnesses today.
20	MR. BAKER: I haven't talked to Mr. Bell
21	directly.
22	No, sir, we don't intend to call any
23	witnesses.
24	THE COURT: As I understand it the case is
25	completed except for two witnesses.

1	MR. REDICK: That's correct.
2	THE COURT: When are we going to know for
3	sure whether we have two witnesses?
4	MR. REDICK: I am representing to the
5	court that I have been advised by those witnesses they
6	will be here Friday afternoon.
7	THE COURT: We will proceed that way. I
8	want to make sure I keep my word to Mr. Baker. I told
9	him that if any of those witnesses raised new matters
10	that he didn't anticipate that he would need to call
11	some rebuttal witness and that I would fully consider
12	that and make every effort to make sure he wasn't
13	sandbagged.
14	I am not accusing the petitioner's counsel
15	of sandbagging here.
16	Since we are taking two people out of
17	order, Mr. Baker, I made that commitment to you. Based
18	upon my current expectation what these witnesses will
19	say, I don't think that will arise. We had that
20	discussion and I am not sure if it was in camera or not.
21	I do recall that circumstance.
22	Anything else we need to take up today?
23	MR. MACLEAN: I don't believe so, Your
24	Honor.
25	MR. BAKER: I have one other exhibit. Let

Section 1

1	me consult with counsel. They may have put this in.
2	Your Honor, there was some discussion
3	on Mr. Zimmermann's testimony about a contempt thing.
4	I don't recall the judge now. Your Honor will recall
5	that
6	THE COURT: This is about the \$25
7	dispute?
8	MR. BAKER: I have the court documents on
9	that handed to me this morning. I was going to submit
10	that as an exhibit to the Court.
11	THE COURT: Any objection to those
12	documents?
13	They will be admitted. Hearing no
L 4	objection, we will mark it for you as 15. I can't
15	remember exhibit numbers today.
16	MR. MACLEAN: My notes
L 7	THE COURT: Defendant's Exhibit 15.
18	MR. BAKER: That is what we have, Your
19	Honor.
20	MR. MACLEAN: Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Any other housekeeping
22	matters?
23	MR. BAKER: I don't believe so, Your
24	Honor.
25	MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor.

44.00

MR. REDICK: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: I signed an order to have Mr. Rahman produced for the hearing on Friday. So that has been done. Otherwise we will stand in recess on this matter until -- I said one o'clock before. I don't know what I said on the order. One o'clock on Friday. If I need to push that back a little bit, I will let you know. One o'clock on Friday. (Whereupon, the Court was in recess.)

1	February 20, 1998
2	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Redick and Mr.
3	MacLean, do you have two witnesses today, and which order
4	and do any of them need to be in camera?
5	MR. REDICK: No, Your Honor, I think we
6	can present our proof in open court.
7	THE COURT: All right. Who would you like
8	to go forward with?
9	MR. REDICK: Your Honor, our first witness
10	will be Dr. Michael Blankenship. I would like to address
11	the court about that before I call him as a witness.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. REDICK: We prepared an affidavit, Dr.
14	Blankenship's affidavit with his resume, copies of
15	studies he has conducted about which he would testify
16	with relevant excerpts from the study, a portion of the
17	trial transcript, of the sentencing instructions, and we
18	have made this as a package.
19	THE COURT: Does Mr. Baker have it?
20	MR. REDICK: Yes, he has a copy of it. We
21	told him before the hearing, Your Honor, that our
22	intentions are this. We assumed that the Court considers
23	this to be an offer of proof.

THE COURT: That's right.

MR. REDICK: We are prepared to submit

24

this affidavit with these appendices. We have Dr.

Blankenship in the courtroom. He is available to be

examined by the Court, cross-examined by the state. He

4 is available to be examined on direct by us.

But we are willing to offer this affidavit as his testimony unless the Court or the respondent wants to examination him as a witness.

I would also say, Your Honor, about this testimony, that this testimony is being offered to demonstrate there was a reasonable probability that the jurors in this case believed from the instructions that they received that they would have to be unanimous concerning mitigating circumstances in this case.

The proof that we offered through Dr.

Blankenship was offered on the subject of ineffective
assistance of counsel to this extent. It is our position
that during voir dire, during a submission that never
occurred, a submission of offered instructions by the
defendant to the court and during closing argument to the
jury that Mr. Barrett should have addressed some of these
issues and his failure to do so was ineffective on his
part.

And the evidence that Dr. Blankenship would produce indicates the prejudice as a result of his failure to do this.

So, it is being offered for these two 1 2 purposes. But the sum of the method of presentation is that we are prepared to submit it by affidavit. 3 THE COURT: All right. You are offering 4 it for the question of the unanimous verdict regarding 5 mitigating circumstances, issues you identified, and you 6 are offering it for the ineffective assistance of counsel 7 issue because counsel, in your view, did not offer 8 appropriate jury instructions and didn't voir dire the 9 jurors on this particular issues. 10 11 Did I have it right? MR. REDICK: And didn't argue to the jury 12 about its obligations under the law. 13 14 THE COURT: The closing argument? MR. REDICK: Yes, at the sentencing stage. 15 Those three things? 16 17 THE COURT: Voir dire and closing argument 18 and jury instruction submittal? MR. REDICK: Correct. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Baker, under our local 20 rules the court has the ability to allow an expert 21 22 witness to offer their direct testimony -- other than a 23 medical expert -- to be reduced to writing and a copy

thereof being served on opposing counsel, it says five

days before trial, and then essentially the way that

24

works is then the witness is available for cross-examination.

For those of us who appeared before Judge Morton, that wasn't the exception necessarily, that is how it was done. You may or may not have had this for five days. But you would be entitled to examine the witness if you so chose, and if you have any objection to this being offered by affidavit, let me hear you and I will make a decision.

MR. BAKER: With the understanding it has been presented simply as an offer of proof, we don't object to proceeding like this with the affidavit.

THE COURT: All right. Well, that is the way we started down this road. It would be an offer of proof regarding whether the jurors have to be unanimous regarding mitigating circumstances, because the Court ruled on that in this issue based upon motions for summary judgment.

about ineffective assistance of counsel, that issue is alive and well, whether counsel is ineffective. I think that has been the heart of the testimony that I have heard, versus pros and cons. It is being offered, as I understand it, for those purposes.

Do you have any objection to calling the

1 witness and see if he adopts his affidavit and then you have an opportunity to cross-examine him? 2 MR. BAKER: No, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Do you want to examine him on 4 the ineffective assistance issue? 5 MR. REDICK: Your Honor, I don't have any 7 questions to ask him about ineffective assistance of 8 counsel other than what is in the affidavit. He won't 9 comment on counselors' performance, just the results of the studies. 10 MR. BAKER: It would be my 11 understanding -- this is the first I heard of it being 12 offered for anything other than the instructions. 13 14 I still don't see any need for 15 cross-examination since it is basically a jury study. I would raise an additional objection to 16 17 relevance as to that testimony. The Court has held there was no errors so I don't see how counsel can be 18 ineffective in this regard. 19 I would raise that relevancy objection. 20 21 THE COURT: We will proceed this way then. The affidavit of Mr. Blankenship is admitted into 22 evidence as if he were testifying from the stand. When I 23 24 say admitted, it is admitted initially as the offer of

proof on the unanimous jury issue.

. . . .

,

So, I guess it is admitted is not really the right word. His testimony is allowed for purposes of the offer of proof. But it is otherwise excluded on that particular issue.

On the issue of ineffective assistance of counsel, the testimony of Mr. Blankenship is accepted as if he testified as stated in his affidavit; that the objection raised by Mr. Baker as to relevance, I am going to take it under advisement until I read it.

I have got to read the affidavit and make a determination in that regard. But in terms of getting the affidavit and what his testimony would be in the record, it is in the record and the relevancy objection is reserved on the ineffectiveness issue and unanimous jury issue. It's in the record as an offer of proof.

I think that takes care of that unless I misstated something.

MR. REDICK: No, Your Honor. I would add, however, on the relevance question that I would just like to state our position.

Our position is that we submitted this unanimity issue to the Court on a motion for summary judgment relying on undisputed facts in the existing record. The Court ruled adversely.

We are now bringing to the Court's

attention facts that we submit may be disputed that are 1 relevant to the Court's finding that there was a 2 reasonable probability that the jurors did not 3 understand what the law required of them concerning unanimity of their verdict. 5 So, we are just submitting that it is 6 relevant on that determination. 7 8 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 9 MacLean. 10 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, could we have that marked as Exhibit 135. 11 12 THE COURT: Yes. Regarding exhibits, now 13 that we are on that -- I am trying to use my time wisely. We have been putting all the exhibits into binders so we 14 don't lose them. I can make reference to them with some 15 degree of ease. 16 17 I may have overlooked something but I don't believe we have an Exhibit 109. 18 19 MR. MACLEAN: That's correct, Your Honor we do not. 20 Your Honor, I have prepared a list of 21 exhibits which I was going to submit to the court at the 22 23 conclusion of today so you can have at least our list. I

have given a copy to Mr. Baker, and handwriting any

additional exhibits in.

25

24

•

THE COURT: That can be helpful to make 1 sure the record is complete. 2 MR. MACLEAN: I have also asked my 3 paralegal, Ms. Williams, who is in the court to consult 4 with the Clerk of the Court at the conclusion of the 5 hearing to make sure we are straight on all the exhibits 6 7 and how they are numbered. THE COURT: Mr. Baker, I would ask you to 8 9 have a conversation with Ms. Bush, too, to make sure we have all your exhibits, too. I would hate you to labor 10 long and hard for only me not being able to find it. 11 MR. BAKER: I received the petitioner's 12 exhibit list, that I will just make one comment on. 13 Respondent Exhibit 15, Zimmermann contempt 14 order and appellate court order, I don't believe that 15 order was a contempt order. Whatever that is worth. We 16 17 don't have to change it. THE COURT: I am going to look at Mr. 18 MacLean's list not as substantive evidence. This is just 19 a checklist to see if I have misplaced a document. 20 21 So, any objections regarding his 22 characterizations won't be necessary for me to resolve. I won't be deciding the case based upon how he has 23 characterized in one sentence what the evidence means. 24

25 Mr. Redick.

.. ...

1	MR. REDICK: Your Honor, could we then
2	excuse Dr. Blankenship?
3	THE COURT: He is free to go. Mr. Baker,
4	any reason
5	MR. BAKER: Not for cross-examination.
6	THE COURT: Have a safe trip back to east
7	Tennessee.
8	DR. BLANKENSHIP: Thank you, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: What is next?
10	MR. REDICK: Dr. Ray Winbush I think is
11	outside.
12	THE COURT: All right. Let's see if we
13	can summon him in here and we will have a discussion.
14	Welcome, Mr. Winbush. Step forward and
15	raise your right hand, please.
16	(Whereupon, the witness was duly sworn.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 EXAMINATION OF RAYMOND WINBUSH

- 2 BY MR. REDICK:
- Q. You are Dr. Raymond Winbush, is that correct?
- 4 A. Yes.

g, f•Oath

- 5 Q. Dr. Winbush, you were educated in college at
- 6 Oakwood College in Huntsville, Alabama, received a BA in
- 7 psychology, graduated Cum Laude?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. During the year 1968 you were at the Summer Study
- 10 | Program at Harvard University?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. '69 at the Summer Studies Program at Yale
- 13 | University?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. In 1973 you got a master's degree in psychology
- 16 | from the University of Chicago, is that correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 | Q. Majoring in clinical psychology with a minor in
- 19 | sociology?
- 20 A. Right.
- 21 | Q. In 1976 you received your Ph.D. in philosophy
- 22 and psychology at the University of Chicago, is that
- 23 | right?
- 24 A. Doctor of philosophy in psychology, right.
- 25 Q. And what was your doctoral dissertation on?

- 1 A. Identity formation in black adolescents. How they
- 2 | achieved it.
- Q. Now, from 1973 to 1977 you were employed at
- 4 Oakwood College as assistant professor in social work,
- 5 black studies and urban studies, is that right?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. In 1977 through 1980 you were an associate
- 8 professor in psychology at Alabama A&M University?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Also coordinator of clinical psychological masters
- 11 program?
- 12 A. I was.
- 13 Q. In the summer of '80 you were a scholar resident
- 14 at Alabama Center of Higher Education, is that right?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. From '80 to '95, assistant professor of human
- 17 development counseling at Vanderbilt University?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. From 1984 to 1985 you were a vice president for
- 20 | corporate research at United Press International, is that
- 21 right?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And from 1985 to 1986 you were vice president for
- 24 | corporate research at Focus Communications, Incorporated,
- 25 | FCI?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. From 1989 to 1990 you were vice president of
- minority affairs in human relations at Cleveland State
- 4 University?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. From 1986 to 1995 at Vanderbilt University you
- 7 | were assistant provost director of the Joseph Johnson
- 8 | Black Cultural Center, is that right?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. From 1994 to 1995 at Vanderbilt University you
- were an associate professor in the practice of human
- 12 development and adjunct professor of psychology, is that
- 13 | right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. From 1995 to the present day you have been at Fisk
- 16 University as director of Race Relations Institute and
- 17 professor of social sciences, is that right?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
- 19 MR. REDICK: Your Honor, I have a copy of
- 20 Dr. Winbush's curriculum vitae I would like to offer in
- 21 evidence as Exhibit 154.
- 22 THE COURT: All right. That will be
- 23 admitted as 154.
- 24 | Q. Dr. Winbush, looking at page four, bottom of your
- 25 | curriculum vitae, you have had various memberships in

- 1 | community activities listed there beginning at the bottom
- of the page, boards of directors and committees involving
- 3 | various things having to do with African-American issues
- 4 | and black culture, is that right?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. You have traveled, conducted research in Ghana,
- 7 | Honduras, France and Jamaica, is that correct?
- B | A. Yes.
- 9 Q. You consulted at places such as the University of
- 10 London, School of Education, Odyssey Television Network,
- 11 the governor's cabinet for the state of Tennessee,
- 12 | Florida A&M University, Alcohol Drug and Mental Health
- 13 Association in Columbus, Ohio, Tennessee Alcohol and Drug
- 14 Abuse Counsel, the Department of Education.
- 15 Is that the State Department or federal
- 16 | department?
- 17 A. The federal department.
- 18 Q. The Memphis Public School Systems, Tennessee
- 19 | Commission on Children and Youth, and various other
- 20 universities and institutions?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. You have a list on page seven and eight of your
- 23 curriculum vitae of grants funded for research and
- 24 | training and various things having to do with
- 25 African-American culture, is that right?

1 A. Yes.

Q. Now, I understand that looking at page eight in your curriculum vitae under major publications and presentations there are several things listed there on over to the following page, articles, books, chapters and books and things you have authored.

I look at the second item listed there Cinque's Children, Raising Black Men in America.

I ask if you can describe to the Court what that is?

- A. Well, it's a book I am currently authoring, a book that talks about some of the development challenges that black boys face being reared in the United States and some of the issues unique to them as opposed to their white boys.
- Q. And looking further on down there to the 10th item, there is an article there entitled Growing Pains, explaining adolescents violence with developmental theory.

Can you tell us what that is?

A. That is a chapter I published about 10 years ago and I was saying in that chapter that there is some convincing -- given the circumstances -- that children are raised in America that you can actually predict adolescent violence and developmental issues based upon

- their early exposures to violence in their childhood.
- Q. Now, Dr. Winbush, in this case you have had a
- 3 | chance to meet Abu-Ali Rahman?
- 4 A. Yes.

- 5 Q. You met him twice?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. How much time would you say you spent with him
- 8 total?
- 9 A. I guess all total, three hours, three and a half
- 10 to four hours. Something like that.
- 11 Q. You have had an opportunity to review the social
- 12 history prepared by Dr. Diana McCoy who testified in this
- 13 | court?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. You have had an opportunity to read the evaluation
- 16 and diagnosis by Dr. Sadoff in his report?
- 17 A. Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. And Dr. McCoy's report of her evaluation and
- 19 | diagnosis of Abu-Ali?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 Q. You have had an opportunity to review a videotape
- of a hypnosis session with Abu-Ali, that's correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. During the course of reviewing these materials and
- 25 | spending time with Mr. Abdur' Rahman have you formed any

- opinions about his development and development of his
- identity?
- 3 A. Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. Let me ask you this. If you were to identify
- 5 anything that you focused your attention on during your
- 6 education and during your employment, what would you say
- 7 that has been?
- 8 A. I would say that it is -- I have always been
- 9 fascinated, I guess, with my dissertation research how
- 10 African-Americans and more recently how African-American
- 11 men develop their sense of self in a society that often
- 12 | times militates against like healthy formation of self.
- So, I also focused on the idea of
- 14 | identity. How can Clarence Thomas and Michael Jackson
- 15 | come out of society like this?
- 16 Q. Do you see in this case, based upon what you
- 17 | reviewed and the meeting you had with Mr. Abu-Ali,
- 18 questions that are peculiarly bound up in racial
- 19 matters?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. When we refer to African-American developmental
- 22 | issues, can you generalize what we are talking about that
- 23 is unique to African-Americans in America?
- 24 A. There is a lot of research that shows development
- of black boys who become black men and black girls that

become black women, they are not the same as if you look
at a white sample.

We know, for example, Joyce Lanen's research on women that black girls learn to nurture and take care of much earlier their children, et cetera, and much earlier ages because much of the responsibility for rearing the children are placed on black girls.

We know black boys on average have a more early exposure to violence, violence is always at the periphery of black boys in society. That being middle black, poor class or what.

We know that black boys also have, if an early racial identity is not formed, that we can kind of predict these people will not be -- they will have issues as they grow older in their lives.

- Q. Do you see evidence that Abu-Ali is racially conflicted?
- 18 A. Yes.

- 19 Q. What does that mean?
 - A. Much of what I read is how his father was very racially conflicted. His father, I feel just from reading the evidence, fits the pattern of a black male that really did not like himself.

His father by his own admission was a very dark skinned black man and clearly had issues about

1 him being a dark skinned black man.

I asked Mr. Ali on several occasions whether or not he remembered his father saying anything. Since he had grown up like most of us did during the civil rights era, did he ever talk about Martin Luther King or Malcolm X or anything. He said no.

I think that early absence of not having a racial identity as a black man or black boy in society led to other issues as he grew older.

Q. Now, you read the record concerning his history of abuse and neglect visited upon Mr. Abdur' Rahman.

How would you, based upon your experience, categorize the severity of this abuse and neglect?

A. It is singularly the worst case of abuse I have come across in 25 years being an academic psychologist.

This ranges from the projects of Chicago all across this country.

I can't even in my memory remember anything that remotely comes close to some of the things I read.

Q. What are the implications -- let me ask you this question.

Of course, he had two parents that raised him up until his later adolescence. We have heard a history of abuse by the father and I guess it is far to

1 | say neglect by the mother.

How would you describe the implications of this history?

A. Well, it was also an act of neglect on the part of the mother. It was clear that Mr. Ali's mother knew he was being severely beaten -- I think is a mild term -- tortured by his father both physically and psychologically and then mixed with the idea if you add to that there was no sense who he was as a young black boy growing up in the mid 1950s in this country, it created an incredible vacuum filled with a variety of other things later on in his life.

You know, there were several statements that Mr. Ali made relative to just wanting to have comfort from the mother. The father bullying goes on so many levels and not really -- the mother kind of ignoring the cries for help that Mr. Ali had at a very early age. This is at the age of four or five years old.

- Q. Was there any evidence in the record referring back to the father's distaste or dislike for his own racial heritage, any evidence in the record about the way he addressed Abu-Ali that is evidence of that?
- A. He consistently referred to him as nigger or little nigger, which again in a historical context in the 1950s that was degrading then as it is now. It was

particularly degrading during those periods.

He clearly wanted to please the white officers because he was in the Army and did everything that -- almost bent over backwards. Research has shown many --

MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I raise an objection.

Unless there is a foundation, I see nothing that indicates that these matters he is raising about the father, particularly with regard to the father's motivations and attitudes toward race -- unless there is some foundation for those questions, I move to disallow it.

THE COURT: I am going to sustain the objection as it relates to whether the father was trying to please or not please military officers. I have not seen anything about that. I do recall evidence in the record about the father using degrading racial terms toward his own children.

But if we are going to get far afield on what the father has done, I don't think we have a lot of evidence in the record and, of course, Mr. Redick, you are free to bring that out if there is a foundation.

Q. What was the frequency, Dr. Winbush, of these

racial epitaphs he would hurdle at Abu-Ali based upon

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

your review of the record and information you have?

Well, they were very regular. It is clear from A. the record that the fear that Mr. Ali had of his father took the form of avoiding his father in terms of running away on several occasions.

There was one occasion, for example, as they were driving up to the house, Mr. Ali reported in the record that he knew that he was going to be beaten for some minor infraction so he ran and hid.

The term nigger was used constantly. was used in adolescence growing up when he got himself in trouble. He said you got yourself in trouble, nigger, you get yourself out.

- In your review of the record, did you see any evidence that this abuse and neglect had any sort of sexual characteristics?
- It did. There was one incident that particularly stands out in my mind where Mr. Ali's penis was tied up by his father, placed in a closet.

While there is no direct reference to him being sexually abused by his father it is clear that his father abused him with sexual intentions in mind. He was always -- like I noticed several cases or instances where his genital region was made an object of abuse by his father.

-1-1

You know, I know that is the kind of pattern behavior when there may be some terrible thoughts in the mind of the parent toward the child, he may not even be sure it was his own child. I think that is

another theme.

It is clear that because of Mr. Ali's color, that he was much lighter than his father, his father wasn't sure whether or not Mr. Ali was even his son, based upon the record of his mother's relations with other men.

I think his father wasn't quite sure about that. That may have represented to the father that Mr.

Ali was like the product, if you please, of a relationship between another man and his wife.

Q. Did you find any significance in the fact that we have a history of abuse here both against the mother and the son who are both lighter skinned than the father?

A. That is characteristic of people that are full of self-hatred.

Q. Is this abuse and neglect that you have seen in this record consistent with an early manifestation of mental disease?

A. Oh, yes, absolutely. I guess one of the things that surprises me is that despite this early -- again, abuse is too mild a term. It is really almost torture.

- 1 | That nothing more happened to Mr. Ali in his life -- it
- 2 would be predictable if I had a child his age and given
- 3 the environment he was raised in that you could almost
- 4 | predict there would be anything from borderline behavior,
- 5 schizophrenia that would later on manifest itself in his
- 6 adolescence.
- 7 Q. Did the information you reviewed concerning the
- 8 lives of the other siblings in that family, Sylvia and
- 9 Mark, inform you at all?
- 10 A. It did. Mark committed suicide, his brother
- 11 did. There are behavioral problems with his sister as
- 12 | well.
- One of the siblings testified that Mr. Ali
- 14 | received the worst part of the abuse. So, yes, it is
- 15 | throughout the entire family.
- 16 Q. Now, having reviewed the diagnosis and evaluations
- 17 of Dr. Sadoff and Dr. McCoy as reflected in their
- 18 | reports, do you concur with their diagnosis?
- 19 MR. BAKER: I object to that. I don't
- 20 | believe he has done any psychological testing or anything
- 21 of that nature in the case. I don't think he is here as
- 22 a clinical psychologist or forensic psychologist.
- I object to that line of questioning with
- 24 this witness.
- THE COURT: Mr. Redick, what do you say

1 | about that?

MR. REDICK: Your Honor, I submit he is in a position to form an opinion. He spent several hours with Mr. Abdur' Rahman and reviewed the social history which includes the diagnoses conducted by the witnesses that have testified in this case and other people throughout his life. It includes reports of facts upon which diagnoses are made.

The question is whether or not he concurs with another diagnosis.

I think he is qualified and also has sufficient information about this case to offer an opinion about that.

THE COURT: I will let him answer the question.

Go ahead.

A. I agree with it. The qualification I would have on it, if you look at the entire diagnoses that Mr. Ali received over the years, it is fairly common that black males get diagnosed more severely than often times they really are.

I think his latest psychological evaluation by the doctor is probably the closest one I would agree to as opposed to some of the older ones I saw dating back 15 or 20 years.

- THE COURT: Let's get focused. Are we
- 2 | talking about post traumatic stress syndrome --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 THE COURT: -- or borderline personality
- 5 disorder?
- 6 Q. We have two. One is borderline personality
- 7 disorder and the other is post traumatic stress
- 8 disorder?
- 9 A. I would agree with the PTSD more than the other.
- THE COURT: Why don't you lay a
- 11 foundation, Mr. Redick, why his opinion about that should
- 12 be respected.
- Why is he qualified to make a post
- 14 traumatic stress disorder opinion?
- 15 Q. Upon what do you base your conclusion that you
- 16 | concur in this diagnosis?
- 17 A. Well, I didn't make the diagnosis. I concur with
- 18 it.
- 19 It is based upon what I have seen over the
- 20 | years. Even the material I am writing right now about
- 21 | what black boys go through in terms of experiencing
- 22 | violence at very young ages. It is often times amazing
- 23 how we see like in Northern Ireland, for example, young
- 24 | children that see violence at very young ages. We bring
- 25 | them to this country and there are programs because of

the environment they witness every day of bombs and problems between Catholics and Protestants.

We don't use that same thing with young boys that go through the inner-city, in a country relative to seeing violence in terms of drugs and people being killed every day.

So, I think it is a one on one analogy of people being in a war zone around the world and what goes on every day in inner-city black communities in the country as well as what goes on in cases like with Mr.

Ali where there is this history of incredible traumatic abuse visited upon him by his father.

- Q. Have you seen other diagnosed cases of post traumatic stress disorder?
- A. Yes, many. With young boys, in fact, yes, and young adult men.
 - Q. Now, you have testified that Mr. Abdur' Rahman when he was a child didn't receive any training from his father.

THE COURT: Let's go back. Borderline personality disorder is not a mental illness and he said that post traumatic stress disorder is a mental illness.

Why is this witness qualified to testify as to whether somebody has a mental illness or not? Dr.

1 | Sadoff is a medical doctor.

MR. REDICK: Your Honor, a non-medical doctor can testify as to a mental illness. A psychologist can testify to mental illness. Dr. Winbush is a psychologist.

THE COURT: I don't think you laid the foundation that he has experience doing that. He may. But I don't see the foundation for it.

MR. BAKER: In addition to what I previously objected to, there is no indication that he is an academic psychologist involved -- primarily involved in racial issues, social and psychological issues. There is nothing in the record that he has experience in a clinical situation where he makes diagnoses. That is not his field.

I think we are getting far afield with what this witness is qualified and experienced to talk about.

MR. REDICK: I would be happy to limit the rest of his testimony to the subject of the African-American issues and cultural heritage and implications of social history we have in that regard.

THE COURT: I am not trying to limit that testimony. I at this point don't believe the record has been developed where he is qualified by experience or

training to give a diagnosis about mental illness.

Δ

If you can get him qualified, feel free to do that. If you would like to pursue other avenues that -- obviously you have more than one thing you want to develop with this witness. You are free to do that. You can choose.

- Q. Dr. Winbush, given your testimony about the lack of training for Mr. Abu-Ali concerning his racial heritage and given the history we have here of abuse and neglect and subsequent mental disease, what are the implication of this combination?
- A. Usually people that have a lack -- I want to be very clear about this -- a lack of racial identity development in young black boys, given a society that will already traumatize them for simply being black men in this society, you can predict they will be affiliated with groups that talk a great deal about racial identity formation.

It is throughout Mr. Ali's life, you can see him joining groups like the American Indian movement, SEGM group, a member at one time of the Black Panther Party. He was trying to achieve the identity he didn't have when he was very, very young.

The joining of the groups is almost like cult-like rather than all of us joining groups. It is

particularly with young black boys who don't have a solid racial identity formed within them, you see them joining these groups at almost a phenomenal level. There is a strong attraction to try to seek out who he is.

I think that is manifested throughout his life with the groups he has joined.

- Q. Given his race and given the light color of his skin, does that give any predictability to the fact he may have been the object of sexual assaults while in confinement?
- A. It does. The issue -- and this is of discussion within the black community both casually as well as formally -- is light skinness and slight of stature in the 1970's when he was incarcerated would make him an object of sexual abuse, especially in a prison situation where there are a lot of black men that have racial identity problems in the first place.

He could be seen as being more desirable because of his light skinnedness. He would be effeminized, if you want to put that way.

I think that is something that runs in the black community even in terms of beauty within the community. It is still here.

Q. Given this history and everything that we have already talked about Abu-Ali, what significance to you is

,	
1	the fact that he has this obsession with protecting
2	children and caring for children?
3	What does that mean?
4	A. Because he wasn't protected himself. It was for
5	the child of the man. I think Mr. Ali wanted to protect
6	children in ways he wasn't protected. He was that is
7	something again that runs throughout his life, being very
8	tender, trying to protect children, saying good things
9	about children. Many of the women he was involved saying
10	he wanted a family. Because he never had that sense of
11	protection when he was a child.
12	I think he said in the documents I wrote
13	that his father wasn't a father, he was a man, my mother
14	wasn't a mother, she was a woman.
15	Man and woman merely describes biological
16	state. Mother and father describes issues of nurturing.
17	I think he wanted to be the father to
18	these children that he had never experienced himself.
19	Q. What about his inordinate interest in
20	identification with animals?

Well, that was interesting. You know, I think 21 when he was younger -- again based upon what I read -- I 22 23 think the anger he had toward his father could be 24 expressed. The psychologist says anger turns into rage. I noticed in an early age he started identifying with 25

animals, on several occasions he actually growled like a wolf and other things of that nature.

I think that was an expression of the absolute anger but fear of expressing it toward his father in the situation he was in.

Animals have to be protected like children in one very broad sense. I think Mr. Ali kind of growling like -- we can joke about it -- when we are angry we growl. We grit our teeth. I think that was expressed in Mr. Ali's very early age because he identified with them.

He would isolate himself in the Army base and would go into the woods. I am sure he saw animals there and there probably was a certain amount of freedom he saw in the animals that he had not experienced in his our rearing.

Q. Given all the circumstances that you previously referred to in your testimony, would Abu-Ali be someone who is subject to influence, subject to manipulation?

A. Yes. I mean, very much so. Because of what had occurred in a family, that he was very dependent on the whims of a very vicious father and not being able to receive comfort from kind of a psychologically absent mother, he was wanting to be told what to do. Because he had been told what to do almost all his childhood. And

it continued in groups that are very religiously based or 1 form around identity, you know, to be authoritarian. 2 He came from a tortured background where 3 he was told what to do. He would be attracted to groups 4 that told him what to do. 5 Would this type person ever have difficulty with 6 7 confrontation? 8 They would try to avoid confrontation as much as they could. If they had to deal with it they would 9 10 probably be confronted with an absence of impulse control. 11 It depends on the situation. I think he 12 would be willing to confront if he saw like, for example, 13 a child that needed to be protected, he would be more 14 willing to confront in a situation like that or if he saw 15 himself in harms way, depending on the circumstance. 16 17 If there was a moment in the trial where Mr. Abdur' Rahman was -- at the very beginning of the 18 cross-examination by Assistant District Attorney General 19 John Zimmermann -- in which Mr. Abdur' Rahman identified 20 himself that he was no nigger on the street, what was he 21 22 saying, in your opinion? 23 MR. BAKER: I object to that as

THE COURT: I will let him answer and I

24

25

speculation.

1 | will decide whether it is speculation or not.

There are phrases in our society that sometimes are terms of art and to the extent you want to explore that, feel free to and then I will make a decision.

- Q. Do you remember the question?
- A. Why don't you repeat it.

- Q. There was a moment in the trial in which -- in
 response to confrontation from Assistant District
 Attorney General John Zimmermann -- which he testified
 himself in that he was no nigger on the street.
 - A. I think this again is linked in how everybody in his life told him what he was.

You know, on one of the visits he kind of gestures in this pile of papers, looking at his history. He said, I am not what many people have said I am, including being a nigger on the street. The stereotype being some kind of drug dealer or criminal.

You know, has Mr. Ali committed criminal acts? Yes. I think on the other thing is, he a criminal being stereotyped as being somebody that would be that typical nigger on the street.

I think that is what he was talking about when he made that statement to the lawyer.

Q. How would you assess his sense of conscious, his

sense of social justice?

.

A. I think it is very strong despite many things he has done. He always -- this is again typical of black boys that don't have solid identity formation. They are groping, searching for it.

I think the sense of right and wrong was always there. It was sometimes misjudged. If you look at his affiliation with the American Indian movement it was always saying we have got to protect somebody, we have got to take care of this group that is helpless.

I think again it goes back to his childhood as being -- not being protected.

Q. We have heard proof in this case of what you are aware that he used marijuana and perhaps LSD at a critical time in the commission of the crimes about which this case concerns. Yet he described how his motivation was to rid the community of drug dealers and try to run off drug dealers and put them out of business.

How do you rationalize this apparent inconsistency?

MR. BAKER: Again, I object as to speculation. I don't think this is his area of expertise that he is here for. I don't know that any expertise would justify an answer to that question.

THE COURT: Let me back up. On the no
nigger on the street point, I think I will allow the
answer to that question because it is in the context of
testimony regarding what this witness referred to as
identity development problems. I accepted it in that
regard.

Regarding reconciling the apparent inconsistencies that Mr. Redick pointed out, I think this witness is qualified to testify about how the petitioner's views of social justice can be understood in the context of the black community in Nashville.

I am going to let him testify about that.

I am not going to accept any legal conclusions or conclusions about ultimate opinions, whether that is consistent or inconsistent.

I think this witness can help with some questions of context given his review of the records and his expertise.

I will let him answer the question. Go ahead.

- Q. Do you remember the question?
- A. Yes. Well, I look at when he started using or I should say he started using years and years ago. It is clear when he was with the American Indian movement he used peyote.

. L. . . .

My experience with several groups of the Rastafarians of Jamaica that I did research 20 years ago, is that there is no gap between using drugs for religious and spiritual awakenings, if you please, and seeing abusive drugs being given in the community.

The Rastafarians of Jamaica are one of the most adamant people that hate drug runners and weed had them out. Yet they will use it at religious ceremonies. That is not an unusual thing.

If I had seen in his earlier childhood or adolescence like drug running, that would be an inconsistency. I see the early use of drugs as being something more of a religious nature than anything else. He dabbled in things like heroin and cocaine but got away from that stuff.

- Q. How would you characterize Abu-Ali's -- how would you characterize him as a potential employee?
- A. Well, I would hire him. I think I would say that because I think if he had been in an environment or was in an environment that nurtured and understood him as a black man growing up in the latter part of the 20th century in America, I think if he had that around him and he could talk about that with somebody else, reading books together or whatever, I think he would be a model employee.

I think there is obviously evidence of 1 that in the record as well. There are several instances 2 he was a model employee. 3 So, I think he would be a very good 5 employee. What does the record reflect on his concerning the 6 Q. increase or decrease of his propensity for violence as he 7 has grown older? 8 9 I think decreased. More recently at River Bend there has been no evidence of violence. I think that 10 the violence was part of his environment and he struck 11 out against it in many levels, particularly in the 12 early 1970s with the murder conviction while he was in 13 14 prison. I think that in more recent years he seems 15 to be more at peace with himself. I think in part it is 16 due to the fact that he became a Muslim which encourages 17 18 that, and I think that there has been no evidence in the past few years about that. 19 20 MR. REDICK: That is all the questions I have, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Mr. Baker.

23

24

25

EXAMINATION OF RAYMOND WINBUSH

2 BY MR. BAKER:

1

- Q. Dr. Winbush, you are an academic psychologist, is
- 4 that correct, and your career has primarily revolved
- 5 around addressing social issues pertaining to
- 6 African-Americans and other minorities in our culture?
- 7 | A. Yes.
- 8 Q. You're not a practicing clinical psychologist or
- 9 forensic psychologist?
- 10 | A. No.
- 11 Q. You do not make diagnoses of patients?
- 12 A. I have in the past under supervision, yes.
- 13 Primarily an academic psychologist.
- 14 Q. And are you licensed by any board to practice
- 15 | clinical psychology or forensic psychology?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 Q. You talked a little bit about the petitioner's
- 18 background.
- 19 It is true he had a father and had a
- 20 mother in the same household, is that correct?
- 21 A. He had a man and woman in the same household,
- 22 yes.
- 23 Q. His father was a career Army man?
- 24 A. Uh-huh.
- 25 Q. Have you read the records, the school records

- where the mother is described as being overly protective 1 and concerned for her son?
- Yes, I read those. 3 Α.

20

21

22

23

- Yet you still say his mother was negligent in his 4 Q. care even though she was overly protective of him? 5
- I think, you know, there was a lot of diagnoses I 6 7 saw in records clearly -- when I think of overly protective, I think of something much different than what 8
- Now, you also described him as being someone that 10 Q. can be manipulated. 11
- He also is someone that can lead as well, 12 13 correct?
- How do you get to that? 14 A.

I read about his mother.

Well, we have at least one witness came in here 15 and described him as being a leader. The evidence from 16 this case indicates with regard to the crime that is 17 18 involved, he was basically planning and directing the events. 19

You are aware of that?

- No, I am not. Because my -- what I read was that A. Allen Boyd -- I think that was his name -- that he was in charge of that final episode.
- I think the way I use the term leader, I 24 am talking about somebody like an Allen Boyd or somebody 25

that clearly takes charge of the situation and orders
people around, et cetera.

I just don't see any evidence of that in the record.

- Q. So, if the co-defendant and Norma Norman testified at this trial it was the defendant, or petitioner who was basically giving direction during the commission of these crimes, you're not aware of that?
- 9 A. I would disagree with it.

- 10 Q. You also said that he has been an individual that
 11 would try to avoid confrontation?
- 12 A. Under certain circumstances, yes.
 - Q. Of course, you have reviewed his records and it indicates from a very early stage of repeated fighting in school; as a matter of fact he was expelled for pulling a knife on a teacher; he has been in youth offender programs for violent acts; arrested and placed in federal prison for violent acts.

Of course, there is the second degree murder conviction you are aware of.

Certainly these don't seem to indicate a person that is avoiding confrontations throughout his life. do they?

A. You can call confrontation when somebody is defending themselves, too.

I don't see any evidence -- the pulling of
the knife you mentioned earlier with the teacher, et
cetera, I saw Mr. Ali at a very young age being
victimized by a host of horrendous situations.

I think most of the confrontations I saw were initiated after somebody had threatened him in a variety of levels, threatened him about being raped in prison, et cetera.

I think a more typical response is when he ran away from his father on one incident that I remember in particular and hid from him as a way of avoiding confrontation and violence that he knew his father would beat on him.

Q. So, you believe that his acts of violence were essentially in defense of himself.

Is that your view?

A. In many cases, yes.

Q. Let's talk about the homosexual or sexual matters.

Of course, there is evidence in this case also that this

petitioner was a consensual participant in sexual acts in

prison.

You are aware of that as well, aren't you?

A. Yes. I understand the issue about the situation of homosexuality as well.

- Q. You referred to portions of the petitioner's testimony at his trial and described it as perhaps his
- 3 expression that he is not a criminal. I believe the
- 4 words were nigger on the street?
- 5 A. Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. Of course, if you look at this person's record,
- 7 isn't that exactly what you see, a long criminal history,
- 8 is that correct?
- 9 A. I think what Mr. Ali said that -- and I
- 10 interpreted it that way as well -- is the idea of like
- 11 | having like a criminal mind, somebody who says that is
- 12 | predatory, somebody who actively organizes and says we
- are going to do X, Y and Z and plans this in a
- 14 premeditated way.
- You mentioned the murder conviction. It
- 16 | was second degree. It wasn't a first degree planned
- 17 | murder. When he went to Mr. Stein's cell, I don't think
- 18 he went with intent to kill him. He went with protection
- 19 but didn't go there with the intent to kill him. I think
- 20 | the court found that.
- 21 I think the idea of intention, at least
- 22 for me, plays or influences me a lot to believe he wasn't
- 23 this career criminal that I think is evidenced in this
- 24 record.

Q. So, his acts of aggravated assault, repeated acts

- of misconduct in prison, the crimes involving this case,
- you do not see those as a person that is a criminal?
- 3 A. I don't see that as a person -- because I have to
- 4 | put that up against the times that there were long
- 5 periods of work history when he had an opportunity to be
- 6 committing criminal acts but he didn't. He was in a good
- 7 | environment.
- 8 I can't take Mr. Ali out of the
- 9 | environment I saw him in. All the acts I saw at least
- 10 indicated that there were incredible mitigating
- 11 circumstances where those acts were committed.
- 12 Q. He has been in prison most of his adult life with
- 13 the exception of, I believe, 1983 until 1986 when this
- 14 occurred.

- Really he only had a very short period
- 16 outside of prison to even demonstrate a work ethic?
- 17 A. But there is also evidence in the record when he
- 18 was in certain prison situations, when he felt he
- 19 | wouldn't be raped, that he was a model prisoner as well.
- 20 Q. So, you believe that the records in this case
- 21 | indicate that he at times was a model prisoner?
- 22 A. Yes. There were times he got awards for having, I
- 23 think, something about the neatest barracks or something
- 24 | like that. There were several instances like that.
 - Q. There are many instances of lying to the prison

- 1 staff?
- 2 A. Sure.
- 3 Q. Having contraband, including knives?
- 4 A. Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. Disruptive behavior, aggressive behavior. There
- 6 is all that. It is really hard -- you can't
- 7 characteristics this person as a model prisoner?
- 8 A. I didn't say model prisoner. I say there were
- 9 times he was. I think the times is when he felt the
- 10 environment he was in was safe and relatively free from
- 11 | sexual violence toward him.
- 12 Q. He was released in 1983 on federal parol and
- 13 | within three years he is arrested for this?
- 14 A. Uh-huh.
- 15 Q. We talked a little bit about the development of
- 16 African-American males in general.
- 17 You are certainly not testifying here
- 18 | today that most African-American males would condone this
- 19 petitioner's behavior?
- 20 A. I don't understand the question.
- 21 Q. You have testified about African-American males in
- 22 general and some of their attitudes and being issues they
- 23 faced.
- But certainly you're not saying that most
- 25 African-American males would condone this petitioner's

behavior and his record? 1 Oh, not at all. I also think they would 2 understand some of the circumstances that occurs in it as 3 well. 5 MR. BAKER: I believe that is all, Your 6 Honor. THE COURT: Any redirect? 7 MR. REDICK: No, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Winbush. 9 10 may step down. Any other evidence that needs to be 11 12 submitted before we consider the case submitted for the Court for decision? 13 14 MR. BAKER: Your Honor, I had one matter. I believe the parties were contacted by Dr. Craddock and 15 Dr. Craddock I believe told me he was sending a letter to 16 the Court. 17 THE COURT: Yes, I have gotten a letter 18 19 from Dr. Craddock and I signed an order, filed it in the record and having the Clerk send a copy to each of you. 20 If you haven't received it, you will. 21 MR. MACLEAN: We have received it and we 22 are prepared to stipulate that would be his testimony and 23 we are prepared to allow the Court to consider it as 24

25

such.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Baker. MR. BAKER: Yes, we talked about that and 2 we agreed to that. 3 THE COURT: Let me pull in my memory. My 5 memory is that he wrote and said the previous testimony was that he wasn't to the courthouse with Cheryl 6 Blackburn was in error. I believe he attached a voucher 7 for reimbursement. That is what it looked like. After seeing that he determined that he had been at the 9 courthouse, I guess. during the time of the trial. 10 11 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, I believe he was at the 12 trial on July 14th and 15th. I believe that is what his time record reflected. 13 14 MR. BAKER: That's correct. 15 MR. MACLEAN: Which would be the second of 16 the two days of the guilt phase and the sentencing 17 hearing. THE COURT: So, the parties are 18 19 stipulating he was at the trial on, did you say, the 14th and 15th? 20 21 MR. MACLEAN: What we are stipulating to 22 is that his records reflect he was there, and we won't contest that. 23 That stipulation is noted. 24 THE COURT: 25 I commend Dr. Craddock for running down

1	the loose ends.
2	Any other evidence that need to be
3	submitted?
4	MR. MACLEAN: Two matters. First of all,
5	previously during Mr. Sumter Camp's testimony there was
6	introduced in evidence Exhibit 143, a copy of the statute
7	that is currently in effect allowing for services,
8	investigative or psychiatric services for capital
9	defendants under certain circumstances.
10	I have a copy of the statute that was in
11	effect in 1986/87. I would like to substitute that as
12	Exhibit 143. The language is the same that Mr. Camp
13	referred to in his testimony.
14	THE COURT: I am not sure the best thing
15	to do is to substitute it. But I will let you mark it as
16	an exhibit. Let's make it the next number.
17	MR. MACLEAN: 143 A?
18	THE COURT: All right. That is a good
19	solution.
20	MR. MACLEAN: And then finally, Your
21	Honor
22	THE COURT: Ms. Bush, mark that 143 A.
23	MR. MACLEAN: Could I have moment so I can
24	mark all my things here.
25	Finally, Your Honor, we would like to

```
introduce as Exhibit Number 155 a copy of TCA 639-2-203,
 1
      the provision that covers sentencing for first degree
 2
      murder which was in effect in 1986 and '87.
 3
                     THE COURT: That will be number 155.
 4
      not sure it is necessarily required to mark the law as
 5
      exhibits, since the Court determines what the law is.
 6
 7
                     It is helpful to at least know what you
      believe the law was in 1986.
 8
                     So, I accept both 143 A and 155 for that
 9
10
      purpose.
11
                     MR. REDICK: I have the trial exhibit list
      we prepared that I would like to submit to the court
12
      right now.
13
                     THE COURT: If you will hand that to Ms.
14
15
      Bush.
16
                     MR. MACLEAN: Would you like an extra
17
      copy?
18
                     THE COURT: Yes, if you have an extra
19
      one.
20
                     MR. MACLEAN: I believe that is it, Your
21
      Honor.
22
                     THE COURT: All right. Mr. Baker, how
23
      about you?
24
                     MR. BAKER: Nothing further from the
25
      respondent.
```

MR. REDICK: I would like to address the court briefly.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Redick.

MR. REDICK: Judge, there has been some conversation off and on in this hearing about how to treat evidence that we have offered concerning proof that should have been presented by counsel at trial in order to satisfy the prejudice prong in Strickland.

I would like to ask the Court to consider a few things in that regard. First of all, in Federal Rules of Evidence I don't think it has been mentioned yet about the other exceptions 803 24. As I read that it looks to me like this type of evidence --

THE COURT: Yes, I talked about 803 24, and if you might recall it doesn't exist anymore. It is 807. It has been -- I believe I let some of this evidence in under that exception.

Congress for reasons that aren't entirely clear to me, other than housekeeping, moved 803 24 and 804 5 which are evidentiary siblings, they do the same thing, depending on whether the declarant is available or unavailable. They have both been consolidated into something called the residual exception at 807.

My view of that, unless someone educates me to the contrary, the law hasn't changed one bit, they

1 just gave it a new number.

•

So, I just want to make sure we are talking about the same thing. I think I have let some of the evidence in under one of those exceptions and my memory is I went back at some point and said whoops, I forgot they called it 807.

MR. REDICK: I recall that.

are addressing is of interest is one I am happy to hear about because I think it is the interplay between this proceeding and application of the federal rules and the sentencing stage of the trial which it seems the parties agree that state rules of procedure did not -- excuse me -- state rules of evidence did not apply during the sentencing and mitigation phase produces at least some interesting thinking and perhaps those that renamed the rule would have better spent their time writing how that interplayed rather than rewriting the rule.

What is your view of how it fits together?

MR. REDICK: I think more importantly than reference to the Federal Rules of Evidence which the Court obviously is already aware of, is something that I think has not been mentioned and that is rule -- section 2254 cases, habeas cases, Rule 7. What Rule 7

1	provides
2	THE COURT: Which part of Rule 7 are you
3	at?
4	MR. REDICK: Rule 7 A of the habeas
5	rules.
6	THE COURT: If motion is not dismissed
7	summarily the judge includes development of the
8	motion
9	MR. REDICK: What this is for, to the
10	extent it is limited it is for the expansion of the
11	record to include additional materials for determination
12	of the relevance of the petitioner.
13	There are a couple things I want to point
14	out. First of all, it is clear based upon several
15	federal cases, United States Supreme Court cases, Harris
16	versus Nelson and Lonchar versus Thomas.
17	THE COURT: Harris versus Nelson.
18	MR. REDICK: 394-286, page 300, 1969 case.
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. REDICK: I am citing that case as I am
21	citing Lonchar versus Thomas, 116 United States Supreme
22	Court, 1293 at page 1300. It is 1996.
23	THE COURT: That is the case I used to
24	issue the stay of execution in this case.
25	MR. REDICK: That's correct.

THE COURT: Came out a couple weeks before this case developed.

MR. REDICK: And referring to, quoting language from Harris versus Nelson, the court said at any time in the proceedings either on the court's own motion or upon cause shown by the petitioner it may issue such writs or take or authorize such proceeding before or in conjunction with the hearing of the facts that is under Rule 7.

In Lonchar versus Thomas, which states from page 1300, the rules afford the district court substantial discretion in the conduct of a case once the answer has been ordered. To decide -- to order the expansion of the record to facilitate disposition of the merits without additional hearing.

You can, under Rule 7, if you don't have evidentiary -- and you use Rule 7 if you are having an evidentiary hearing.

More to the point of whether you can use Rule 7 on the question of a proffer that is presented that should have been presented in the state trial court, in order to demonstrate prejudice under Strickland, there is a case of Settlemoge versus Fulcomer, 923 F Second 284. It is a Third Circuit case, 1991.

There is dicta in the decent which the

judge is opining about what Rule 7 means. At page 314 he says the Rule 7 procedure might enable the district court to limit the burden of trying the prejudice prong of ineffective assistance claims.

And also in Mathiss versus Zant, 903 F
Second -- this is an Eleventh Circuit case in 1990 at
page 1370, the district court adjudicated prejudice as
entirely based upon the basis of, quote, an expanded
record, close quote, in documentary evidence.

It is our submission that what the Court is trying to determine is the prejudice suffered at the trial in state court. In that sense the really only question is whether or not that jury could have heard that proof.

I think that Rule 7 in these cases give the Court an opportunity to avoid a strict application of the Federal Rules of Evidence that would prohibit the Court from considering this evidence that should have been presented.

I would also refer the court to the two volume treatise of Federal Habeas Corpus Practice and Procedure at Section 19.5, pages 530 through 534. This is in the second edition, 1994, in which it describes how the primary goal of Rule 7 is to eliminate the need for applying the Federal Rules of Evidence and, quote,

relaxes the rules of evidence by giving the court discretion to admit virtually all evidence that is relevant to the determination of the petitioner.

THE COURT: All right. If I accept your premise that habeas Rule 7 relaxes the Federal Rules of Evidence, what is the standard for my to judge the admissibility of evidence other than relevancy?

MR. REDICK: In a sentencing hearing, Your Honor, relevancy would be the only standard. In terms of hearsay, I think that the state courts have made determinations of reliability of the hearsay. There could be hearsay on hearsay on hearsay that might be excluded from the state court but I think just hearsay is admissible and there may be some outer limit exclusion for unreliability.

THE COURT: All right. That is helpful and interesting.

I think to recapitulate where I was on this, this was a federal proceeding, Federal Rules of Evidence apply, state rules of evidence don't apply but acknowledging that a determination of what is relevant for this proceeding requires an examination of what would have been admissible at the state proceedings, I think that is how I evaluated it prior to this.

I am certainly not on the record and

frankly otherwise not considered habeas Rule 7 in that mix.

I will take a look at this and see how it all plays together. When we last met, I indicated I would review evidentiary rulings that I made earlier.

The practice I have followed was that even when a statement appeared to be excluded by the rules of evidence, I allowed the answers so the record could be built by way of an offer of proof. Frequently the answer wasn't really what everybody expected and didn't require resolution.

To the extent in my opinion that I rely on anything that has been objected to and I have sustained that objection then I will need to resolve all of this.

I will reconsider it if I need to and I won't if I don't have to.

But I had not evaluated it from that point of view. It appears I learn something every day. This may be one thing I learned today. But I will look at it all and see how it all fits together. I am familiar with some of these authorities. I think I have read several of these cases. But I can't, from my memory, remember what the dicta was in the decent. I know what some of the cases stand for. I will have to consider that.

Mr. Baker, I will give you an opportunity 1 to put your views in on this, or if you in the briefing 2 3 process want to address it -- or either of you want to -you are welcome to do it. 4 I am not sure it is going to matter 5 because there hasn't been all that much evidence 6 7 excluded. But it may. I will reexamine it if I need to on a 8 9 case-by-case basis, depending on what I determine is the facts that will be found for purposes of deciding this 10 case one way or the other. 11 Anything else we need to take up? 12 13 MR. BAKER: Nothing from the respondent. 14 MR. MACLEAN: No, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We will consider this case submitted and you have the briefing schedule. 16 17 As it will be no surprise to you, this 18 case is under my top priority, since I think Congress put the new Anti-terrorism and Death Penalty Act --even 19 though it doesn't apply, it is of great importance and I 20 21 will try to get on it as quickly as I can. 22 In fact, I have been working on it some

23

24

25

this week in terms of going through my notes and gathering my thoughts and that sort of thing.

That will conclude this proceeding. When

Mr. Tummel gets the record filed, I am sure he will let you know and you can begin the briefing process. Thank you very much.

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, John W. Tummel, Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court, Middle District of Tennessee, with office at Nashville, hereby certify that I recorded on the Stenograph Shorthand Machine the proceedings held in open court beginning on February 6, 1998, in the matter of: ABU-ALI ABDUR' RAHMAN VS. RICKY BELL; Nashville Civil Case No. 3-96-0380; and that the proceedings in connection with this hearing were reduced to typewriting under my supervision; and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same.

This the 25th day of February, 1998

Official Court Reporter

20 ***CERTIFIED TRANSCRIPT ONLY IF SIGNED IN BLUE INK.***